

TOWN OF LAKE PARK SPECIAL CALL PLANNING & ZONING BOARD MEETING AGENDA MAY 30, 2017 6:00 p.m. 535 PARK AVENUE LAKE PARK, FLORIDA

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE AND BE ADVISED: If any interested person desires to appeal any decision of the Planning & Zoning Board with respect to any matter considered at the Meeting, such interested person will need a record of the proceedings, and for such purpose, may need to ensure that a verbatim record of the proceedings is made, which record includes the testimony and evidence upon which the appeal is to be based. <u>Persons with disabilities requiring accommodations in order to participate in the Meeting should contact the Town Clerk's Office by calling (561) 881-3311 at least 48 hours in advance to request accommodations.</u>

CALL TO ORDER

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

ROLL CALL

| Judith Thomas, Chair | | |
|-------------------------------------|----|--|
| Martin Schneider, Vice-Chair | | |
| Lawrence Malanga, Regular Member | ¥i | |
| Charlemagne Metayer, Regular Member | | |
| Joseph Rice, Regular Member | | |
| Vacant, Alternate Member | | |
| Vacant, Alternate Member | | |

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Planning & Zoning Board Special Call Meeting Minutes; May 8, 2017

PUBLIC COMMENTS

Any person wishing to speak on an agenda item is asked to complete a Public Comment Card located in the rear of the Commission Chambers, and provide it to the Recording Secretary. Cards must be submitted before the agenda item is discussed.

ORDER OF BUSINESS

The normal order of business for Hearings on agenda items is as follows:

- Staff presentation
- Applicant presentation (when applicable)
- Board Member questions of Staff and Applicant
- Public Comments 3 minute limit per speaker
- Rebuttal or closing arguments for quasi-judicial items
- Motion on floor
- Vote of Board

NEW BUSINESS

1. PZ CASE 17-009: CREATION OF SITE PLAN CRITERIA

AN ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING SITE PLAN CRITERIA TO BE INCLUDED WITHIN THE LAND DEVELOPMENT REGULATIONS OF THE TOWN CODE.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DIRECTOR COMMENTS

ADJOURNMENT

THE NEXT REGULARLY SCHEDULED "SPECIAL" CALL PLANNING & ZONING BOARD MEETING WILL BE HELD ON MONDAY, JUNE 19, 2017 AT 7:00 P.M.



TOWN OF LAKE PARK SPECIAL CALL PLANNING & ZONING BOARD MEETING MINUTES MAY 8, 2017

CALL TO ORDER

The Planning & Zoning Board Meeting was called to order by Vice-Chair Schneider at 7:00 p.m.

ROLL CALL

Judith Thomas, Chair

Martin Schneider, Vice-Chair

Joseph Rice

Lawrence Malanga

Charlesmagne Metayer

Not Present

Present

Present

Not Present

Present

Chair Thomas arrived at 7:03 p.m.

Also in attendance were Town Attorney Thomas J. Baird; Nadia DiTommaso, Community Development Director, Town Planner Scott Schultz and Recording Secretary Kimberly Rowley.

APPOINTMENT OF CHAIR

Board Member Rice nominated Judith Thomas for Chair. There were no other nominations, and Judith Thomas was reappointed as Chair of the Planning & Zoning Board.

APPOINTMENT OF VICE-CHAIR

Board Member Rice nominated Martin Schneider for Vice-Chair. There were no other nominations, and Martin Schneider was reappointed as Vice- Chair of the Planning & Zoning Board.

APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Chair Thomas requested a motion for the approval of the Agenda as submitted. Vice-Chair Schneider made a motion for approval, and it was seconded by Board Member Rice. The vote was as follows:

| | Aye | Nay |
|---------------------|-----|-----|
| Joseph Rice | X | - |
| Martin Schneider | X | |
| Judith Thomas | X | |
| Charlemagne Metayer | X | |

The Motion carried 4-0, and the Agenda was approved as submitted.

SCHEDULING OF JULY 2017 "SPECIAL CALL" P& Z BOARD MEETING

Miss DiTommaso stated that due to the July 4th Holiday, a "Special Call" P&Z Meeting would be scheduled for either July 10th or July 17th, depending on the preference of the Board Members. Upon discussion, it was decided that July 10th is the preferred date. Chair Thomas asked for a vote for the approval of July 10, 2017, as the date of the Special Call Planning & Zoning Board Meeting. The vote was as follows:

| | Aye | Nay |
|---------------------|-----|-----|
| Joseph Rice | X | |
| Martin Schneider | X | |
| Judith Thomas | X | |
| Charlemagne Metayer | X | |

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Chair Thomas asked for a motion for the approval of the Minutes of the April 3, 2017, Planning & Zoning Board Meeting. Board Member Rice made a motion for approval, and the motion was seconded by Vice-Chair Schneider. The vote was as follows:

| | Aye | Nay |
|---------------------|-----|-----|
| Joseph Rice | X | |
| Martin Schneider | X | |
| Judith Thomas | X | |
| Charlemagne Metayer | X | |

The Motion carried 4-0 and the April 3, 2017, Planning & Zoning Board Meeting Minutes were approved.

PUBLIC COMMENTS

Chair Thomas explained the Public Comment procedure.

ORDER OF BUSINESS

Chair Thomas outlined the Order of Business.

NEW BUSINESS

1. PZ CASE 17-007: SITE PLAN APPLICATION – 1220 NORTHLAKE BOULEVARD

A SITE PLAN APPLICATION FOR PARCEL B OF THE PLAZA AT LAKE PARK, A PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT (PUD) AS IT RELATES TO THE EXTERIOR ARCHITECTURAL ELEVATIONS ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROPOSED HOBBY LOBBY RETAIL STORE.

STAFF PRESENTATION – PZ CASE 17-007

Scott Schultz, Town Planner, addressed the P&Z Board and explained this is a Site Plan Application for Parcel B of the Plaza at Lake Park Planned Unit Development (PUD), in regard to exterior architectural elevations for Hobby Lobby. Mr. Schultz stated the legal address is 1220 Northlake Boulevard, which is the former site of the K-Mart Store, and is being converted into two (2) separate retail spaces: 1) Hobby Lobby; and 2) Burlington Retail. Mr. Schultz stated this item is identical to the Burlington Retail Store façade improvements which came before the P&Z Board at the April Meeting. He explained the exterior improvements will be made to the north facade and east façade. Mr. Schultz stated the elevations, which go over and above the Minimum Design Standards for the NBOZ Regulations, have gone through several rounds of Staff review and comments, and the final version is being presented to the Board this evening. informed the Board that in an effort to expedite the request and to keep Hobby Lobby on schedule for their August opening, Staff has scheduled the item for the May 17th Town Commission Meeting. He stated that because the elevations meet all of the Code Provisions and will modernize a portion of the Plaza, Staff feels confident with the proposed elevations. Mr. Schultz stated that Staff will bring forward any comments and recommendations expressed by the P&Z Board to the Town Commission, that he is available for questions, and that a Representative from Hobby Lobby is present.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Mr. Schultz stated that Staff is recommending approval of the exterior architectural elevations for the proposed Hobby Lobby Store.

BOARD COMMENTS/DISCUSSION

Vice-Chair Schneider stated he is fine with the architecture, but expressed that the proposed sign appears to be large, and requested the sign be made smaller. Chair Thomas asked to see the north elevation for the Burlington Store, for comparison and compatibility purposes to the Hobby Lobby elevations. Chair Thomas expressed she is fine with the architecture, but had some concern regarding color consistency throughout the Plaza.

John Speed, of the Sterling Organization, introduced himself to the P&Z Board and stated he is the Project Manager for the Construction Team for the Burlington Retail Store, as well as the utilities for Hobby Lobby. Chair Thomas inquired about the long term lease agreement with Hobby Lobby and stated it is nice to have someone occupy this space. Mr. Speed mentioned the entire center will be updated and painted to match Burlington/Hobby Lobby. There was discussion regarding background color and Mr. Speed confirmed that the color schemes will be consistent for the entire Plaza.

Board Member Rice inquired if benches will be placed in the entire plaza or only in front of Burlington and Hobby Lobby. Mr. Schultz replied that the benches will be in front of each store. Mr. Schultz stated regarding the signage, the site currently has all channel letters and moving forward the signage will remain consistent with the existing signage.

BOARD RECOMMENDATION

Upon conclusion of the discussion, Board Member Rice made a motion for approval for the exterior façade improvements for the proposed Hobby Lobby at 1220 Northlake Boulevard. The motion was seconded by Vice-Chair Schneider, and the vote was as follows:

| | Aye | Nay |
|---------------------|-----|-----|
| Joseph Rice | X | |
| Martin Schneider | X | |
| Judith Thomas | X | |
| Charlemagne Metayer | X | |

The vote was 4-0 in favor of approval.

2. PZ CASE 17-008: SUBSTANCE ABUSE TREATMENT FACILTY, GROUP HOME, AND COMMUNITY RESIDENTIAL HOME USE MODIFICATIONS IN THE TOWN'S VARIOUS ZONING DISTRICTS IN CHAPTER 78 OF THE TOWN CODE

STAFF PRESENTATION - PZ CASE 17-008

Town Attorney Baird stated that he will be presenting this item. He informed the Board that in approximately 2008 the Town Code was amended to allow for Substance Abuse Treatment Facilities, which at that time were being confused with what are now called sober homes, into all zoning districts, including residential. The confusion stemmed from a Federal lawsuit which occurred and argued that the Town had to allow sober homes in wherever they wanted to go, which we now know is not the case, as the law has evolved and continues to evolve. Mr. Baird stated the Town currently has six (6) substance abuse treatment facilities, which are licensed by the Department of Children and Families (DCF). Sober homes, or recovery residences as they are now defined in Florida Statutes, are not licensed by the DCF. The Town Attorney stated that the P&Z Board is being asked to weigh in on the recommendation by himself and Staff to remove substance abuse treatment facilities from all Zoning Districts in the Town. The reason is the Town, which is 2.2 square miles and has a population of approximately 8,500, currently has six (6) substance abuse treatment facilities, and approximately forty (40) recovery residences that theoretically might provide housing for the substance abuse treatment facilities. He stated the belief is the forty (40) recovery residences are providing housing not only for the six (6) substance abuse treatment facilities located within the Town, but also for the eighteen (18) facilities located nearby in the City of Riviera Beach and the City of Palm Beach Gardens. The Town Attorney stated, therefore, the Town has more than enough substance abuse treatment facilities to serve the Town's population, and the Amendments are part of the effort to minimize the proliferation of sober houses in the Town so that the residential neighborhoods can become stable.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

The Town Attorney stated that Staff is requesting a recommendation from the Board to the Town Commission to approve the Amendments to the various Zoning Districts in the Code to eliminate substance abuse treatment facilities from those Districts.

BOARD COMMENTS/DISCUSSION

Chair Thomas asked for clarification on whether the Town is trying to control the substance abuse treatment facilities or the (40) recovery residences. The Town Attorney explained that the Town is trying to get a handle on both the substance abuse treatment facilities and the recovery residences. He stated the six (6) licensed facilities would continue to exist and operate as a legal non-conforming use moving forward, meaning that they could not expand, but can continue their existing operations. The Town has very little control over whether additional recovery residences open up in the Town, but it is our belief that the availability of sober homes has driven the location of substance abuse treatment facilities. So, the hope is, by eliminating the number of substance abuse treatment facilities, the Town will begin to get a handle on the proliferation of sober homes/recovery residences. The Town Attorney added, as a footnote, and not a part of this Ordinance, Legislation was recently passed, which was recommended by the Sober Home Task Force, of which he is a member, that is intended to address the "rogue" sober homes that are not operating as housing for people who want to stay sober, but rather operating as housing for rogue substance abuse treatment facilities that would like to make money off of its patients. He clarified the Code amendments will not have any effect on sober homes, because the Town cannot regulate sober homes, nor can the DCF, because individuals addicted to drugs and/or alcohol are a class of individuals who have disabilities under the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and the Fair Housing Act (FHA) and the Town cannot apply its definition of family to those individuals who may want to live together as a family. The Town Attorney further explained that the Town was sued in 2009 over its failure to permit disabled individuals from living together as a family. The result of the Federal lawsuit was a settlement whereby the Town agreed to create a Reasonable Accommodation procedure, which is a process that allows individuals who have a disability to petition the Town to "be excused" from the definition of family, which is three (3) or more unrelated individuals living together. He stated the Town has been operating with the Reasonable Accommodation process for some time now. Vice-Chair Schneider asked if Reasonable Accommodation is included in definitions, and the Town Attorney replied that the Reasonable Accommodation process is in the Town Code.

The Town Attorney added that another change being recommended is to clean up the existing definitions in the Town Code with respect to Group Homes, in order to be consistent with the definition in Florida Statutes.

Vice-Chair Schneider questioned if the sober home type use could fall into one of the community residential home or group home definitions, to which the Town Attorney replied they could not, because they do not meet the definition of a community residential home since they are in essence a family. The Town Attorney explained that a Community Residential Home might have

individuals with other types of disabilities, such as Autism or Downs Syndrome; or a Group Home might be elderly individuals living together.

Chair Thomas expressed she is struggling with the Town not having any control over the existing (40) recovery residences located in the Town and the proliferation of the homes since they aren't required to meet any regulations. How does the Town say we have more than enough of a concentration of the use within our Town? The Town Attorney reiterated that the Code Amendment does not have anything to do with sober homes/recovery residences, but has to do with licensed substance abuse treatment facilities. With respect to sober homes, Town Staff, along with PBSO, through windshield surveys and Reasonable Accommodation Applications that have been submitted, have determined that there are approximately forty (40) residences in Lake Park operating as sober homes/recovery residences. There could be less, or there could be more, but Towns cannot regulate sober homes because they are protected by the ADA and the FHA. The only thing a municipality can do is try to limit the impact of the sober homes by its recommendation of what is a Reasonable Accommodation to its Magistrate. However, we should not focus on sober homes, as this Code Amendment does not address the issue of sober homes, nor can we address this issue. All we can address is does the Town have enough licensed substance abuse treatment facilities.

Vice-Chair Schneider asked if the existing licensed substance abuse treatment facilities are located throughout all of the zoning districts, or within a certain zoning district. Ms. DiTommaso replied they are located predominately in the C-1 District and some are in the C-2 District. The Town Attorney stated that moving forward, if the Board recommends approval to the Town Commission and they adopt, there will not be any more applications. Vice-Chair Schneider stated his concern that if the existing (6) licensed treatment facilities become existing non-conforming, and if they were to go away for whatever reason there will be no placed zoned to allow for a new licensed treatment facility. Therefore, perhaps keep them in the C-1 District as a Special Exception. The Town Attorney reminded the Board that there are twenty-four (24) licensed substance abuse treatment facilities within a 2-mile radius of the Town of Lake Park. Ms. DiTommaso stated that the Code allows for if a substance abuse treatment facility leaves the location there is a six-month period where a new substance abuse treatment facility could relocate in that same location.

BOARD RECOMMENDATION

Upon conclusion of the discussion, Vice-Chair Schneider made a motion for approval, and the motion was seconded by Board Member Rice, and the vote was as follows:

| | Aye | Nay |
|---------------------|-----|-----|
| Joseph Rice | X | |
| Martin Schneider | X | |
| Judith Thomas | X | |
| Charlemagne Metayer | X | |

The vote was 4-0 in favor of recommending approval to the Town Commission the proposed Text Amendments to the Zoning Districts in Chapter 78.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DIRECTOR COMMENTS

There were no comments from the Community Development Director.

ADJOURNMENT

Respectfully Submitted,

Chair Thomas welcomed Mr. Metayer to the Planning & Zoning Board. Board Member Metayer stated it is a pleasure to be serving and he looks forward to doing something positive for the Town.

There being no further business before the Board, Chair Thomas asked for a motion to adjourn. Board Member Rice made a motion to adjourn, and it was seconded by Board Member Metayer. The vote was as follows:

| | Aye | Nay |
|---------------------|-----|-----|
| Joseph Rice | X | |
| Martin Schneider | X | |
| Judith Thomas | X | |
| Charlemagne Metayer | X | |

The vote was 4-0 and the Meeting was adjourned at 7:35 p.m.

| Kemberly | Kowlay |
|-------------------------|---------------------|
| VIII D. D. I | 0 |
| Kimberly B. Rowley | |
| Planning & Zoning Board | Recording Secretary |

PLANNING & ZONING BOARD APPROVAL:

| Judith Thomas, Chair | |
|------------------------------------|---------|
| Town of Lake Park Planning & Zonin | g Board |
| | |
| DATF: | |



Special Call Meeting Date: Tuesday, May 30, 2017 – 6:00pm

To: Planning & Zoning Board

From: Community Development

#PZ17-009 – Creation of Site Plan Criteria

This agenda item is simple and straight-forward. The Town Commission directed staff to create specific site plan criteria in the Town Code of Ordinances. In an attempt to bring together the various Town Code and Comprehensive Plan requirements respective to the review of site plan applications, including the standards for conditions of approval, Town Code Section 67-38.1 has been created.

A copy of the proposed Ordinance is enclosed. Staff recommends approval.

RECOMMENDED MOTION OF THE BOARD:

"I recommend approval to the Town Commission for the creation of site plan criteria in Town Code Section 67-38.1 as proposed by staff."

Enclosure: Proposed Ordinance

535 Park Avenue Lake Park, FL 33403 Phone: (561) 881-3318 Fax: (561) 881-3323

www.lakeparkHorida.gov

ORDINANCE __-06-17

AN ORDINANCE OF THE TOWN COMMISSION OF THE TOWN OF LAKE PARK, FLORIDA, CREATING SITE PLAN CRITERIA TO BE INCLUDED WITHIN THE LAND DEVELOPMENT REGULATIONS OF SUBPART B OF CHAPTER 67 SECTION 67-38.1 OF THE TOWN OF LAKE PARK'S CODE OF ORDINANCES; PROVIDING FOR CODIFICATION; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; PROVIDING FOR THE REPEAL OF LAWS IN CONFLICT; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE

WHEREAS, the Town Commission of the Town of Lake Park, Florida ("Town") has adopted a Comprehensive Plan ("Plan") has been determined to be in compliance with Chapter 163, Part II, Florida Statutes; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 163.3202(2), Florida Statutes, municipalities are required to provide specific and detailed land development regulations as part of the implementation of their adopted comprehensive plans; and

WHEREAS, the Town Commission of the Town has directed its Town Manager to direct the Community Development Department to prepare, as part of Subpart B of the Land Development Regulations which are contained in the Town Code, criteria for the review and evaluation of applications for site plans; and

WHEREAS, the Community Development Department has prepared site plan criteria for inclusion in the Land Development Regulations of Subpart B of Chapter 67, Section 67-38.1 of the Town Code; and

WHEREAS, the site plan criteria is to be used in the review and evaluation of applications for a site plan.

NOW, THEREFORE, be it ordained by the Town Commission of the Town of Lake Park, Florida that:

Section 1. The whereas clauses are incorporated herein as the legislative findings of the Town Commission.

Section 2. Chapter 67, Section 67-38.1 of the Code of the Town of Lake Park is created to read as follows:

CHAPTER 67 - SECTION 67-38.1 SITE PLAN CRITERIA

Sec. 52-1. - Criteria for review of site plan applications.

- (a) The criteria listed below shall apply to the review of all applications for a site plan or planned unit developments and any proposed amendments or modifications thereto. The criteria shall be used to evaluate applications for the development or re-development of land within the Town. In order to approve a development order for the development or redevelopment of land within the Town, the applicant must demonstrate that all of the following criteria have been met.
 - (1) The proposed development or redevelopment is consistent with the goals, objectives and policies of the Comprehensive Plan.
 - (2) The proposed development or redevelopment is consistent with any applicable land development regulations.
 - (3) The proposed development or redevelopment is consistent with all applicable land development regulations and all other regulations of this Code.
 - (4) The proposed development or redevelopment is compatible and/or consistent with the established character of a neighborhood, area, or a particular zoning district.
 - (5) The proposed development or redevelopment does not substantially increase traffic or otherwise adversely impact the roadways within the Town.
 - (6) There are adequate levels of service for all public facilities, including, but not limited to, transportation, water supply, drainage and sanitation, and that the public facilities are available concurrent with the impact expected to be created by the development or redevelopment.
 - (7) The proposed development or redevelopment does not adversely affect the light and air of adjacent properties.

- (8) The proposed development or redevelopment does not adversely affect property values in adjacent areas.
- (9) The proposed development or redevelopment would not be a deterrent to the improvement, redevelopment or development of adjacent properties in the same general area or zoning district.
- (10) The proposed development or redevelopment does not create, contribute or diminish the views of adjacent properties, create a substantial increase in noise, or contribute to the visual pollution in the area of the proposed development or redevelopment.
- (11) The proposed development or redevelopment does not negatively impact parks, open space, natural systems or public facilities in the general vicinity of the proposed development or redevelopment.
- (12) The proposed development or redevelopment provides pedestrian amenities, including, but not limited to, green or open spaces, benches, trash receptacles, and/or bicycle parking.
- (b) Should the Department of Community Development and/or the Town Commission determine that the proposed site plan is not consistent with the criteria outlined in subsection (a) above, the site plan may be denied, or approved subject to such conditions the Community Development Department or Commission determines to be necessary to provide for the development or redevelopment of land which is consistent with these criteria. The conditions may require the applicant and/or property owner to exceed the minimum development standards set forth in the town's land development regulations, and/or other regulations determined by the Department of Community Development or the Commission to be applicable. Examples of conditions include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - (1) Restraints to minimize or eliminate such effects as noise, vibration, air pollution, glare and/or odor.
 - (2) Limitations on the height, size or mass, architecture, and/or location of any proposed or existing building or structure on the property which is the subject of an application.
 - (3) Designate the size, number, location and/or nature of access points (vehicle and pedestrian).
 - (4) Designate the size, location, screening, drainage, type of surfacing material and/or other improvement of a parking and/or loading area.
 - (5) Limit or otherwise designate the number, size, location, height and/or lighting of signs.

- (6) Require the use of, and designate the size, height, location and/or landscaping materials for, berming, screening, landscaping and/or other facilities to protect and/or buffer adjacent or nearby property, including designating standards for installation and/or maintenance of the facilities.
- (7) Require the protection and/or relocation of additional trees, vegetation, water resources, wildlife habitat and/or other appropriate natural resources.
- (8) Require specific architectural details and/or design that produces a physical development which is compatible in appearance and mass of other uses in the general vicinity of the proposed development or redevelopment or within the zoning district.
- (9) Specify conditions to implement the intent and purpose of this chapter and the goals, objectives and policies of the town's comprehensive plan.
- (c) Violation of any conditions, when made a part of the terms under which the site plan is approved, shall be deemed a violation of this chapter subject to enforcement under the provisions of this Code.
- **Section 3**. Conflicts. All ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict herewith are hereby repealed to the extent of such conflict.
- **Section 4.** Codification. The sections of the ordinance may be renumbered or relettered to accomplish codification, and the words "ordinance," "section," "article," or "paragraph" may be changed to provide for continuity.
- **Section 5.** <u>Severability.</u> If any section, subsection, sentence, clause, phase or portion of this ordinance is for any reason held invalid or unconstitutional by any court of competent jurisdiction, such portion shall deemed a separate, distinct and independent provision and such holding shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions thereof.
 - **Section 6.** <u>Effective Date</u>. This ordinance shall take effect upon its execution.

p:\docs\26508\00002\doc\1sb2029.docx