



Public Works
Department

November 1, 2018

NOTICE OF INTENT TO AWARD
RFQ 107-2018 – Professional Consultant Services

To All Interested Parties,

Thank you for submitting your response to RFQ 107-2018, Professional Consultant Services, dated August 23, 2018. The Town received nine responses, which were evaluated according to the criteria stated in the RFQ. We announce our intent to award contracts to the following firms:

Engenuity Group – Work Categories A and B
WRMA – Work Category A
Calvin Giordano and Associates – Work Categories A, B, C and E
Simmons and White – Work Categories B and C
NZ Consultants – Work Category E
CPZ Architects – Work Category D

Also, contracts are expected to be awarded at a later date to the following firms, upon successful conclusion of the contract negotiation period:

Michael Schorah and Associates – Work Categories C, D and E

We would like to thank all firms for their time and effort in preparing a response to this solicitation. We appreciate your interest in doing business with the Town of Lake Park.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Richard Scherle", with the date "11/1/18" written next to it.

Richard Scherle
Public Works Director

ATTACHED: Evaluation Committee Minutes, September 18, 2018

650 Old Dixie Highway
Lake Park, FL 33403
Phone: (561) 881-3345
Fax: (561) 881-3349

www.lakeparkflorida.gov



Evaluation Committee Minutes

Town of Lake Park, Florida

Request for Qualifications (RFQ) 107-2018

Professional Consultant Services

Tuesday, September 18, 2018 2:30 p.m.

Commission Chamber, Town Hall, 535 Park Avenue

The Evaluation Committee meeting was conducted on Tuesday, September 18, 2018 at 2:45 p.m. Present were Town Manager John D'Agostino, Assistant Town Manager/Human Resources Director Bambi McKibbon-Turner, Public Works Director Richard Scherle, Finance Director Lourdes Cariseo, and Town Clerk Vivian Mendez.

Finance Director Lourdes Cariseo called the meeting to order at 2:45 p.m. Public Works Director Scherle explained the process in which the Evaluation Committee would provide their evaluation of each submittal.

Finance Director Cariseo served as the Chair of the Evaluation Committee and as such, she would not evaluate the proposals.

The Evaluation Committee evaluation of each proposals are attached as Exhibit "A". The "Town of Lake Park Ranking Schedule for Professional Consulting Services Request for Qualifications" 107-2018 are attached as Exhibit "B".

The evaluations were conducted in alphabetical order by name:

- Calvin Giordano & Associates (CG&A)
- CPZ Architects, Inc.
- DTM Tech Inc.
- Engenuity Group Inc.
- Florida Technical Consultants (FTC)
- Michael B. Schorah & Associates, Inc.
- NZ Consultants (NZ)
- Simmons & White
- Water Resources Management Associates, Inc. (WRMA)

Town Manager D'Agostino and Assistant Town Manager/Human Resources Director Bambi McKibbon-Turner thanked Public Works Director Scherle for structuring the request for qualifications in a manner in which several categories were set up:

- Category A – Stormwater Engineering
- Category B – Civil, Structural and Mechanical Systems Engineering; Surveying and Mapping Services
- Category C – Transportation Engineering
- Category D – Architectural Design
- Category E – Planning Services and Landscape Architect

Public Works Director Scherle thanked the committee and the firms for submitting proposals. Town Manager D'Agostino explained that multiple contracts could be structured depending on the Town's needs for services.

ADJOURNMENT:

With no further business to come before the Evaluation Committee, the meeting adjourned at 4:15 p.m.

Vivian Mendez

Digitally signed by Vivian Mendez
DN: cn=Vivian Mendez, o=Town of Lake
Park, ou=Town Clerk,
email=vmendez@lakeparkflorida.gov, c=US
Date: 2018.09.19 15:18:54 -04'00'

Town Clerk Vivian Mendez

EXHIBIT "A"

Calvin
Giordano
& Associates
(CGA)

RFQ 107-2018 (Professional Consultant Services)
Evaluation Committee Ranking Sheet

Evaluator Name: Juan D. Agostino Date: 9-18-18
Name of Firm: CGA.

WORK CATEGORY 'A': Storm Water Engineering

1.) Are the following forms included in the submittal as part of "Tab 6"?

- | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------|
| a.) Acknowledgement of Addenda | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b.) Proposal Signature Page | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c.) Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d.) Notification of Public Entity Crimes Law | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| e.) Drug-free Workplace Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| f.) Non-Collusion Affidavit | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| g.) Truth-In-Negotiation Certificate | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |

Sample Performance Bond Form, LETTER of CREDIT, AGREEMENT
2.) After reviewing the information contained in Tabs 1-5, please score the firm's submittal according to the evaluation criteria set forth in the RFQ:

a.) Relevant experience, resources, and past to be assigned under this solicitation. Must include historical percentage of "on-time" work as a ratio of all work completed.

40 /45 points

b.) Experience and qualifications of key personnel who will be assigned to projects.

20 /20 points

c.) Offeror's approach to providing the services requested in this solicitation.

10 /10 points

d.) Location of Offeror's office(s) in Palm Beach County

5 /5 points

e.) Inclusion of performance standard, and penalty for untimely submissions. The more aggressive the penalty, the more points will be awarded. See Section 6, Tab 5

20 /20 points

TOTAL POINTS: 95 /100 points

RFQ 107-2018 (Professional Consultant Services)
Evaluation Committee Ranking Sheet

Evaluator Name: John Augustino Date: 9-18-18
Name of Firm: CGA

**WORK CATEGORY 'B': Civil, Structural and Mechanical Systems Engineering;
Surveying and Mapping Services**

1.) Are the following forms included in the submittal as part of "Tab 6"?

- | | | |
|--|--------------|----------------|
| a.) Acknowledgement of Addenda | Yes <u>/</u> | No <u> </u> |
| b.) Proposal Signature Page | Yes <u>/</u> | No <u> </u> |
| c.) Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form | Yes <u>/</u> | No <u> </u> |
| d.) Notification of Public Entity Crimes Law | Yes <u>/</u> | No <u> </u> |
| e.) Drug-free Workplace Form | Yes <u>/</u> | No <u> </u> |
| f.) Non-Collusion Affidavit | Yes <u>/</u> | No <u> </u> |
| g.) Truth-In-Negotiation Certificate | Yes <u>/</u> | No <u> </u> |

2.) After reviewing the information contained in Tabs 1-5, please score the firm's submittal according to the evaluation criteria set forth in the RFQ:

- a.) Relevant experience, resources, and past to be assigned under this solicitation. Must include historical percentage of "on-time" work as a ratio of all work completed.

40 /45 points

- b.) Experience and qualifications of key personnel who will be assigned to projects.

20 /20 points

c.) Offeror's approach to providing the services requested in this solicitation.

10 /10 points

d.) Location of Offeror's office(s) in Palm Beach County

5 /5 points

e.) Inclusion of performance standard, and penalty for untimely submissions. The more aggressive the penalty, the more points will be awarded. See Section 6, Tab 5

20 /20 points

TOTAL POINTS: 95 /100 points

RFQ 107-2018 (Professional Consultant Services)
Evaluation Committee Ranking Sheet

Evaluator Name: John D. Anostromo Date: 9-18-18
Name of Firm: CGA

WORK CATEGORY 'C': Transportation Engineering

1.) Are the following forms included in the submittal as part of "Tab 6"?

- | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------|
| a.) Acknowledgement of Addenda | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b.) Proposal Signature Page | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c.) Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d.) Notification of Public Entity Crimes Law | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| e.) Drug-free Workplace Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| f.) Non-Collusion Affidavit | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| g.) Truth-In-Negotiation Certificate | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |

NOTED in submittal evaluation Conference

2.) After reviewing the information contained in Tabs 1-5, please score the firm's submittal according to the evaluation criteria set forth in the RFQ:

- a.) Relevant experience, resources, and past to be assigned under this solicitation. Must include historical percentage of "on-time" work as a ratio of all work completed.

40 /45 points

- b.) Experience and qualifications of key personnel who will be assigned to projects.

20 /20 points

c.) Offeror's approach to providing the services requested in this solicitation.

10 /10 points

d.) Location of Offeror's office(s) in Palm Beach County

5 /5 points I am concerned with the location of some of the subs- Traffic people are in Ft. Lauderdale.

e.) Inclusion of performance standard, and penalty for untimely submissions. The more aggressive the penalty, the more points will be awarded. See Section 6, Tab 5

20 /20 points

TOTAL POINTS: 95 /100 points

RFQ 107-2018 (Professional Consultant Services)
Evaluation Committee Ranking Sheet

Evaluator Name: John D. [Signature] Date: 9-18-18
Name of Firm: CGA

WORK CATEGORY 'E': Planning Services and Landscape Architect

1.) Are the following forms included in the submittal as part of "Tab 6"?

- | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------|
| a.) Acknowledgement of Addenda | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b.) Proposal Signature Page | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c.) Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d.) Notification of Public Entity Crimes Law | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| e.) Drug-free Workplace Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| f.) Non-Collusion Affidavit | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| g.) Truth-In-Negotiation Certificate | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |

2.) After reviewing the information contained in Tabs 1-5, please score the firm's submittal according to the evaluation criteria set forth in the RFQ:

a.) Relevant experience, resources, and past to be assigned under this solicitation. Must include historical percentage of "on-time" work as a ratio of all work completed.

40 /45 points

b.) Experience and qualifications of key personnel who will be assigned to projects.

20 /20 points

c.) Offeror's approach to providing the services requested in this solicitation.

10 /10 points

d.) Location of Offeror's office(s) in Palm Beach County

5 /5 points

e.) Inclusion of performance standard, and penalty for untimely submissions. The more aggressive the penalty, the more points will be awarded. See Section 6, Tab 5

20 /20 points

TOTAL POINTS: 95 /100 points

RFQ 107-2018 (Professional Consultant Services)
Evaluation Committee Ranking Sheet

Evaluator Name: R. Scherle Date: 9/19/18
Name of Firm: Calvin Giordano & Assoc.

WORK CATEGORY 'A': Storm Water Engineering

1.) Are the following forms included in the submittal as part of "Tab 6"?

- | | | |
|--|--------------|------------------|
| a.) Acknowledgement of Addenda | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| b.) Proposal Signature Page | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| c.) Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| d.) Notification of Public Entity Crimes Law | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| e.) Drug-free Workplace Form | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| f.) Non-Collusion Affidavit | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| g.) Truth-In-Negotiation Certificate | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |

2.) After reviewing the information contained in Tabs 1-5, please score the firm's submittal according to the evaluation criteria set forth in the RFQ:

a.) Relevant experience, resources, and past to be assigned under this solicitation. Must include historical percentage of "on-time" work as a ratio of all work completed.

25 /45 points

work for T&P not always on time.

b.) Experience and qualifications of key personnel who will be assigned to projects.

10 /20 points

*pg. 48
Clinton Williams
- I don't believe
the reference
to LS Dr. is design
& permitting is
accurate*

c.) Offeror's approach to providing the services requested in this solicitation.

3 /10 points

*Project mgr D. Stambaugh -
very little attention
paid to RFP*

d.) Location of Offeror's office(s) in Palm Beach County

5 /5 points

e.) Inclusion of performance standard, and penalty for untimely submissions. The more aggressive the penalty, the more points will be awarded. See Section 6, Tab 5

2 /20 points

*I did not like
the "if you don't
like it, cancel
contract" approach*

TOTAL POINTS: 45 /100 points

*Pg. 11 - inaccurate
statement
regarding
absence of
errors of
Town for
Hydrology
was Report
riddled
w/ errors*

RFQ 107-2018 (Professional Consultant Services)
Evaluation Committee Ranking Sheet

Evaluator Name: R. Scherk Date: 9/18/18
Name of Firm: Calvin Giordano

**WORK CATEGORY 'B': Civil, Structural and Mechanical Systems Engineering;
Surveying and Mapping Services**

1.) Are the following forms included in the submittal as part of "Tab 6"?

- | | | |
|--|--------------|------------------|
| a.) Acknowledgement of Addenda | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| b.) Proposal Signature Page | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| c.) Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| d.) Notification of Public Entity Crimes Law | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| e.) Drug-free Workplace Form | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| f.) Non-Collusion Affidavit | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| g.) Truth-In-Negotiation Certificate | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |

2.) After reviewing the information contained in Tabs 1-5, please score the firm's submittal according to the evaluation criteria set forth in the RFQ:

a.) Relevant experience, resources, and past to be assigned under this solicitation. Must include historical percentage of "on-time" work as a ratio of all work completed.

30 /45 points

b.) Experience and qualifications of key personnel who will be assigned to projects.

10 /20 points

*references
exp. w/ town
as asset, but
individuals listed
familiar w/ us
accused to be
on town projects.
we get the
lower tier?*

c.) Offeror's approach to providing the services requested in this solicitation.

2 /10 points

RS. 110-112
did not see
approach for
this category.
appears to be
missing. pts
awarded on
general
intro.

d.) Location of Offeror's office(s) in Palm Beach County

5 /5 points

e.) Inclusion of performance standard, and penalty for untimely submissions. The more aggressive the penalty, the more points will be awarded. See Section 6, Tab 5

2 /20 points

did not like
the "cancel contract
if unresponsive"
approach

TOTAL POINTS: 49 /100 points

RFQ 107-2018 (Professional Consultant Services)
Evaluation Committee Ranking Sheet

Evaluator Name: R. Scherle Date: 9/18/18
Name of Firm: Calvin Giordano

WORK CATEGORY 'C': Transportation Engineering

1.) Are the following forms included in the submittal as part of "Tab 6"?

- | | | |
|--|--------------|------------------|
| a.) Acknowledgement of Addenda | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| b.) Proposal Signature Page | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| c.) Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| d.) Notification of Public Entity Crimes Law | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| e.) Drug-free Workplace Form | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| f.) Non-Collusion Affidavit | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| g.) Truth-In-Negotiation Certificate | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |

2.) After reviewing the information contained in Tabs 1-5, please score the firm's submittal according to the evaluation criteria set forth in the RFQ:

- a.) Relevant experience, resources, and past to be assigned under this solicitation. Must include historical percentage of "on-time" work as a ratio of all work completed.

25 /45 points

- b.) Experience and qualifications of key personnel who will be assigned to projects.

12 /20 points

c.) Offeror's approach to providing the services requested in this solicitation.

5 /10 points

highly relevant
on subs; not as
much as
on calling
noted. My
concern is
fairness, given
release.

d.) Location of Offeror's office(s) in Palm Beach County

5 /5 points

e.) Inclusion of performance standard, and penalty for untimely submissions. The more aggressive the penalty, the more points will be awarded. See Section 6, Tab 5

2 /20 points

TOTAL POINTS: 49 /100 points

I did not like
The "cancel
contract if
unsatisfied" approach

19.12 - not exactly
in line w/
spec

RFQ 107-2018 (Professional Consultant Services)
Evaluation Committee Ranking Sheet

Evaluator Name: R. Scherle Date: 9/18/18
Name of Firm: Calvin Giordano

WORK CATEGORY 'E': Planning Services and Landscape Architect

1.) Are the following forms included in the submittal as part of "Tab 6"?

- | | | |
|--|--------------|------------------|
| a.) Acknowledgement of Addenda | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| b.) Proposal Signature Page | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| c.) Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| d.) Notification of Public Entity Crimes Law | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| e.) Drug-free Workplace Form | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| f.) Non-Collusion Affidavit | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| g.) Truth-In-Negotiation Certificate | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |

2.) After reviewing the information contained in Tabs 1-5, please score the firm's submittal according to the evaluation criteria set forth in the RFQ:

- a.) Relevant experience, resources, and past to be assigned under this solicitation. Must include historical percentage of "on-time" work as a ratio of all work completed.

35 /45 points

- b.) Experience and qualifications of key personnel who will be assigned to projects.

15 /20 points

c.) Offeror's approach to providing the services requested in this solicitation.

~~8~~ 8 /10 points

liked emphasis on amenability to field work

d.) Location of Offeror's office(s) in Palm Beach County

5 /5 points

e.) Inclusion of performance standard, and penalty for untimely submissions. The more aggressive the penalty, the more points will be awarded. See Section 6, Tab 5

2 /20 points

I did not like the "if you don't like it, cancel contract" approach

TOTAL POINTS: 65 /100 points

RFQ 107-2018 (Professional Consultant Services)
Evaluation Committee Ranking Sheet

Evaluator Name: Bonnie McAllen Sun Date: 9/18/2018
Name of Firm: Celanis Henderson Associates, Inc.

WORK CATEGORY 'A': Storm Water Engineering

1.) Are the following forms included in the submittal as part of "Tab 6"?

- a.) Acknowledgement of Addenda Yes No
- b.) Proposal Signature Page Yes No
- c.) Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form Yes No
- d.) Notification of Public Entity Crimes Law Yes No
- e.) Drug-free Workplace Form Yes No
- f.) Non-Collusion Affidavit Yes No
- g.) Truth-In-Negotiation Certificate Yes No

2.) After reviewing the information contained in Tabs 1-5, please score the firm's submittal according to the evaluation criteria set forth in the RFQ:

a.) Relevant experience, resources, and past to be assigned under this solicitation. Must include historical percentage of "on-time" work as a ratio of all work completed.

20 /45 points (only last five years counted on. It is the path provided)

b.) Experience and qualifications of key personnel who will be assigned to projects.

20 /20 points

c.) Offeror's approach to providing the services requested in this solicitation.

5 /10 points (combined with Civil Engineering)

d.) Location of Offeror's office(s) in Palm Beach County

5 /5 points

e.) Inclusion of performance standard, and penalty for untimely submissions. The more aggressive the ~~penalty~~, the more points will be awarded. See Section 6, Tab 5 *performance standard*

20 /20 points

TOTAL POINTS: 70 /100 points

RFQ 107-2018 (Professional Consultant Services)
Evaluation Committee Ranking Sheet

Evaluator Name: Brenda McElbreen - P. Eng. Date: 9/18/2018
Name of Firm: Calvin Hudson & Associates Inc.

**WORK CATEGORY 'B': Civil, Structural and Mechanical Systems Engineering;
Surveying and Mapping Services**

1.) Are the following forms included in the submittal as part of "Tab 6"?

- | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------|
| a.) Acknowledgement of Addenda | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b.) Proposal Signature Page | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c.) Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d.) Notification of Public Entity Crimes Law | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| e.) Drug-free Workplace Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| f.) Non-Collusion Affidavit | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| g.) Truth-In-Negotiation Certificate | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |

2.) After reviewing the information contained in Tabs 1-5, please score the firm's submittal according to the evaluation criteria set forth in the RFQ:

a.) Relevant experience, resources, and past to be assigned under this solicitation. Must include historical percentage of "on-time" work as a ratio of all work completed.

20 /45 points (only last five years connected on -
No actual ratio provided)

b.) Experience and qualifications of key personnel who will be assigned to projects.

20 /20 points

c.) Offeror's approach to providing the services requested in this solicitation.

5 /10 points (*combined with storm water agency*)

d.) Location of Offeror's office(s) in Palm Beach County

5 /5 points

e.) Inclusion of performance standard, and penalty for untimely submissions. The more aggressive the penalty, the more points will be awarded. See Section 6, Tab 5 *performance standard*

20 /20 points

TOTAL POINTS: 70 /100 points

RFQ 107-2018 (Professional Consultant Services)
Evaluation Committee Ranking Sheet

Evaluator Name: Bomb McElbourn Turner Date: 9/18/2018
Name of Firm: Calvin, Hinton & Associates, Inc.

WORK CATEGORY 'C': Transportation Engineering

1.) Are the following forms included in the submittal as part of "Tab 6"?

- | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------|
| a.) Acknowledgement of Addenda | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b.) Proposal Signature Page | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c.) Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d.) Notification of Public Entity Crimes Law | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| e.) Drug-free Workplace Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| f.) Non-Collusion Affidavit | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| g.) Truth-In-Negotiation Certificate | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |

2.) After reviewing the information contained in Tabs 1-5, please score the firm's submittal according to the evaluation criteria set forth in the RFQ:

a.) Relevant experience, resources, and past to be assigned under this solicitation. Must include historical percentage of "on-time" work as a ratio of all work completed.

20 /45 points (only last firm cap converted on. If actual ratio provided.)

b.) Experience and qualifications of key personnel who will be assigned to projects.

20 /20 points

c.) Offeror's approach to providing the services requested in this solicitation.

10 /10 points

d.) Location of Offeror's office(s) in Palm Beach County

5 /5 points

e.) Inclusion of performance standard, and penalty for untimely submissions. The more aggressive the ~~penalty~~, the more points will be awarded. See Section 6, Tab 5 *performance standard*

20 /20 points

TOTAL POINTS: 75 /100 points

RFQ 107-2018 (Professional Consultant Services)
Evaluation Committee Ranking Sheet

Evaluator Name: Paul McEllen-Suwan Date: 9/18/2018
Name of Firm: Alvin Handon & Associates, Inc.

WORK CATEGORY 'E': Planning Services and Landscape Architect

1.) Are the following forms included in the submittal as part of "Tab 6"?

- | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------|
| a.) Acknowledgement of Addenda | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b.) Proposal Signature Page | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c.) Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d.) Notification of Public Entity Crimes Law | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| e.) Drug-free Workplace Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| f.) Non-Collusion Affidavit | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| g.) Truth-In-Negotiation Certificate | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |

2.) After reviewing the information contained in Tabs 1-5, please score the firm's submittal according to the evaluation criteria set forth in the RFQ:

- a.) Relevant experience, resources, and past to be assigned under this solicitation. Must include historical percentage of "on-time" work as a ratio of all work completed.

20 /45 points *(only look five years counted for actual ratio provided.)*

- b.) Experience and qualifications of key personnel who will be assigned to projects.

20 /20 points

c.) Offeror's approach to providing the services requested in this solicitation.

10 /10 points

d.) Location of Offeror's office(s) in Palm Beach County

5 /5 points

e.) Inclusion of performance standard, and penalty for untimely submissions. The more aggressive the penalty, the more points will be awarded. See Section 6, Tab 5 *performance standard*

20 /20 points

TOTAL POINTS: 75 /100 points

CPZ

Architects, Inc.

RFQ 107-2018 (Professional Consultant Services)
Evaluation Committee Ranking Sheet

Evaluator Name: John D. Agostino Date: _____
Name of Firm: CPZ Architects Inc

WORK CATEGORY 'D': Architectural Design

1.) Are the following forms included in the submittal as part of "Tab 6"?

- | | | |
|--|--------------|----------|
| a.) Acknowledgement of Addenda | Yes <u>✓</u> | No _____ |
| b.) Proposal Signature Page | Yes <u>✓</u> | No _____ |
| c.) Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form | Yes <u>✓</u> | No _____ |
| d.) Notification of Public Entity Crimes Law | Yes <u>✓</u> | No _____ |
| e.) Drug-free Workplace Form | Yes <u>✓</u> | No _____ |
| f.) Non-Collusion Affidavit | Yes <u>✓</u> | No _____ |
| g.) Truth-In-Negotiation Certificate | Yes <u>✓</u> | No _____ |

2.) After reviewing the information contained in Tabs 1-5, please score the firm's submittal according to the evaluation criteria set forth in the RFQ:

a.) Relevant experience, resources, and past to be assigned under this solicitation. Must include historical percentage of "on-time" work as a ratio of all work completed.

40 /45 points

Claim to be on time and within budget all the time... No way to measure that other than to take their work. - They have worked for Town in the past.

b.) Experience and qualifications of key personnel who will be assigned to projects.

20 /20 points

c.) Offeror's approach to providing the services requested in this solicitation.

10 /10 points

d.) Location of Offeror's office(s) in Palm Beach County

5 /5 points

e.) Inclusion of performance standard, and penalty for untimely submissions. The more aggressive the penalty, the more points will be awarded. See Section 6, Tab 5

0 /20 points

TOTAL POINTS: 75 /100 points

RFQ 107-2018 (Professional Consultant Services)
Evaluation Committee Ranking Sheet

Evaluator Name: R. Schuler Date: 9/19/18
Name of Firm: CP2 architects

WORK CATEGORY 'D': Architectural Design

1.) Are the following forms included in the submittal as part of "Tab 6"?

- | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------|
| a.) Acknowledgement of Addenda | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b.) Proposal Signature Page | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c.) Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d.) Notification of Public Entity Crimes Law | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| e.) Drug-free Workplace Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| f.) Non-Collusion Affidavit | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| g.) Truth-In-Negotiation Certificate | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |

2.) After reviewing the information contained in Tabs 1-5, please score the firm's submittal according to the evaluation criteria set forth in the RFQ:

- a.) Relevant experience, resources, and past to be assigned under this solicitation. Must include historical percentage of "on-time" work as a ratio of all work completed.

40 /45 points

(had involvement of highly experienced owner in on-projects)

- b.) Experience and qualifications of key personnel who will be assigned to projects.

20 /20 points

c.) Offeror's approach to providing the services requested in this solicitation.

10 /10 points

did focus on helping client understand what they really want so scope is focused

d.) Location of Offeror's office(s) in Palm Beach County

0 /5 points

e.) Inclusion of performance standard, and penalty for untimely submissions. The more aggressive the penalty, the more points will be awarded. See Section 6, Tab 5

10 /20 points

not very innovative

TOTAL POINTS: 80 /100 points

RFQ 107-2018 (Professional Consultant Services)
Evaluation Committee Ranking Sheet

Evaluator Name: Bonnie McLaughlin Date: 9/18/2018
Name of Firm: CPZ Architects, Inc.

WORK CATEGORY 'D': Architectural Design

1.) Are the following forms included in the submittal as part of "Tab 6"?

- | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------|
| a.) Acknowledgement of Addenda | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b.) Proposal Signature Page | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c.) Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d.) Notification of Public Entity Crimes Law | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| e.) Drug-free Workplace Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| f.) Non-Collusion Affidavit | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| g.) Truth-In-Negotiation Certificate | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |

2.) After reviewing the information contained in Tabs 1-5, please score the firm's submittal according to the evaluation criteria set forth in the RFQ:

a.) Relevant experience, resources, and past to be assigned under this solicitation. Must include historical percentage of "on-time" work as a ratio of all work completed.

0 /45 points *not provided*

b.) Experience and qualifications of key personnel who will be assigned to projects.

20 /20 points

c.) Offeror's approach to providing the services requested in this solicitation.

10 /10 points

d.) Location of Offeror's office(s) in Palm Beach County *(Martin & Brand Co)*

0 /5 points

e.) Inclusion of performance standard, and penalty for untimely submissions. The more aggressive the ~~penalty~~, the more points will be awarded. See Section 6, Tab 5 *performance standard*

10 /20 points

TOTAL POINTS: 40 /100 points

DTM
Tech
Inc.

RFQ 107-2018 (Professional Consultant Services)
Evaluation Committee Ranking Sheet

Evaluator Name: John D'Agostino Date: 9-18-18
Name of Firm: DTM

**WORK CATEGORY 'B': Civil, Structural and Mechanical Systems Engineering;
Surveying and Mapping Services**

*NOT
SURVEY/
MAPPING*

1.) Are the following forms included in the submittal as part of "Tab 6"?

- | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------|
| a.) Acknowledgement of Addenda | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b.) Proposal Signature Page | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c.) Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d.) Notification of Public Entity Crimes Law | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| e.) Drug-free Workplace Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| f.) Non-Collusion Affidavit | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| g.) Truth-In-Negotiation Certificate | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |

2.) After reviewing the information contained in Tabs 1-5, please score the firm's submittal according to the evaluation criteria set forth in the RFQ:

a.) Relevant experience, resources, and past to be assigned under this solicitation. Must include historical percentage of "on-time" work as a ratio of all work completed.

30 /45 points

b.) Experience and qualifications of key personnel who will be assigned to projects.

20 /20 points

c.) Offeror's approach to providing the services requested in this solicitation.

5 /10 points

d.) Location of Offeror's office(s) in Palm Beach County

5 /5 points

e.) Inclusion of performance standard, and penalty for untimely submissions. The more aggressive the penalty, the more points will be awarded. See Section 6, Tab 5

0 /20 points

TOTAL POINTS: 60 /100 points

RFQ 107-2018 (Professional Consultant Services)
Evaluation Committee Ranking Sheet

Evaluator Name: R. Scheule Date: 9/13/18
Name of Firm: DTM

WORK CATEGORY 'B': Civil, Structural and Mechanical Systems Engineering;
Surveying and Mapping Services

note: electrical & fire & energy

1.) Are the following forms included in the submittal as part of "Tab 6"?

- | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------|
| a.) Acknowledgement of Addenda | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b.) Proposal Signature Page | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c.) Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d.) Notification of Public Entity Crimes Law | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| e.) Drug-free Workplace Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| f.) Non-Collusion Affidavit | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| g.) Truth-In-Negotiation Certificate | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |

2.) After reviewing the information contained in Tabs 1-5, please score the firm's submittal according to the evaluation criteria set forth in the RFQ:

a.) Relevant experience, resources, and past to be assigned under this solicitation. Must include historical percentage of "on-time" work as a ratio of all work completed.

25 / 45 points

*- exp. of firm (only 8 yrs old)
- did not like note on pg. 10 about reason for delays.*

b.) Experience and qualifications of key personnel who will be assigned to projects.

15 / 20 points

c.) Offeror's approach to providing the services requested in this solicitation.

7 /10 points

- liked approach,
except for schedule
coming after NTP
received

d.) Location of Offeror's office(s) in Palm Beach County

0 /5 points

e.) Inclusion of performance standard, and penalty for untimely submissions. The more aggressive the penalty, the more points will be awarded. See Section 6, Tab 5

0 /20 points

I did not see the
inclusion of a
performance standard
as specified in
RFQ doc

TOTAL POINTS: 47 /100 points

RFQ 107-2018 (Professional Consultant Services)
Evaluation Committee Ranking Sheet

Evaluator Name: Brent McKeithen Date: 9/18/2018
Name of Firm: DTM Peak, Inc.

WORK CATEGORY 'B': Civil, Structural and Mechanical Systems Engineering;
Surveying and Mapping Services

1.) Are the following forms included in the submittal as part of "Tab 6"?

- | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------|
| a.) Acknowledgement of Addenda | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b.) Proposal Signature Page | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c.) Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d.) Notification of Public Entity Crimes Law | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| e.) Drug-free Workplace Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| f.) Non-Collusion Affidavit | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| g.) Truth-In-Negotiation Certificate | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |

2.) After reviewing the information contained in Tabs 1-5, please score the firm's submittal according to the evaluation criteria set forth in the RFQ:

- a.) Relevant experience, resources, and past to be assigned under this solicitation. Must include historical percentage of "on-time" work as a ratio of all work completed.

0 /45 points *not provided*

- b.) Experience and qualifications of key personnel who will be assigned to projects.

20 /20 points

c.) Offeror's approach to providing the services requested in this solicitation.

10 /10 points

d.) Location of Offeror's office(s) in Palm Beach County *(Broward Co)*

0 /5 points

e.) Inclusion of performance standard, and penalty for untimely submissions. The more aggressive the ~~penalty~~, the more points will be awarded. See Section 6, Tab 5 *penalty awarded*

0 /20 points

TOTAL POINTS: 30 /100 points

Engenuity
Group
Inc.

RFQ 107-2018 (Professional Consultant Services)
Evaluation Committee Ranking Sheet

Evaluator Name: John D. [Signature] Date: 9-18-18
Name of Firm: ENGENUITY

WORK CATEGORY 'A': Storm Water Engineering

1.) Are the following forms included in the submittal as part of "Tab 6"?

- | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------|
| a.) Acknowledgement of Addenda | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b.) Proposal Signature Page | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c.) Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d.) Notification of Public Entity Crimes Law | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| e.) Drug-free Workplace Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| f.) Non-Collusion Affidavit | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| g.) Truth-In-Negotiation Certificate | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |

2.) After reviewing the information contained in Tabs 1-5, please score the firm's submittal according to the evaluation criteria set forth in the RFQ:

a.) Relevant experience, resources, and past to be assigned under this solicitation. Must include historical percentage of "on-time" work as a ratio of all work completed.

40 / 45 points

*Very young group of Team members
Good + Bad. - MS-steps etc. ... mixed*

b.) Experience and qualifications of key personnel who will be assigned to projects.

20 / 20 points

*RGD ENGINEERS
- MED ENGINEERS
outside ENGENUITY
Purchase staff*

c.) Offeror's approach to providing the services requested in this solicitation.

10 /10 points

TRANSITIONAL Approach

d.) Location of Offeror's office(s) in Palm Beach County

5 /5 points

e.) Inclusion of performance standard, and penalty for untimely submissions. The more aggressive the penalty, the more points will be awarded. See Section 6, Tab 5

20 /20 points

TOTAL POINTS: 95 /100 points

RFQ 107-2018 (Professional Consultant Services)
Evaluation Committee Ranking Sheet

Evaluator Name: John D. Agostino Date: 9-18-18
Name of Firm: ENGENUITY Group

**WORK CATEGORY 'B': Civil, Structural and Mechanical Systems Engineering;
Surveying and Mapping Services**

1.) Are the following forms included in the submittal as part of "Tab 6"?

- | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------|
| a.) Acknowledgement of Addenda | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b.) Proposal Signature Page | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c.) Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d.) Notification of Public Entity Crimes Law | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| e.) Drug-free Workplace Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| f.) Non-Collusion Affidavit | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| g.) Truth-In-Negotiation Certificate | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |

2.) After reviewing the information contained in Tabs 1-5, please score the firm's submittal according to the evaluation criteria set forth in the RFQ:

- a.) Relevant experience, resources, and past to be assigned under this solicitation. Must include historical percentage of "on-time" work as a ratio of all work completed.

40 /45 points

- b.) Experience and qualifications of key personnel who will be assigned to projects.

20 /20 points

c.) Offeror's approach to providing the services requested in this solicitation.

10 /10 points

d.) Location of Offeror's office(s) in Palm Beach County

5 /5 points

e.) Inclusion of performance standard, and penalty for untimely submissions. The more aggressive the penalty, the more points will be awarded. See Section 6, Tab 5

20 /20 points

TOTAL POINTS: 95 /100 points

RFQ 107-2018 (Professional Consultant Services)
Evaluation Committee Ranking Sheet

Evaluator Name: R. Scheele Date: 9/18/18
Name of Firm: Engenuity

WORK CATEGORY 'A': Storm Water Engineering

- 1.) Are the following forms included in the submittal as part of "Tab 6"?
- | | | |
|--|--------------|------------------|
| a.) Acknowledgement of Addenda | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| b.) Proposal Signature Page | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| c.) Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| d.) Notification of Public Entity Crimes Law | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| e.) Drug-free Workplace Form | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| f.) Non-Collusion Affidavit | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| g.) Truth-In-Negotiation Certificate | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |

2.) After reviewing the information contained in Tabs 1-5, please score the firm's submittal according to the evaluation criteria set forth in the RFQ:

- a.) Relevant experience, resources, and past to be assigned under this solicitation. Must include historical percentage of "on-time" work as a ratio of all work completed.
- 32 /45 points
- ~ pg 58 - familiarity w/ grants for SW. NPDES*
- long history*
- familiarity w/*
- b.) Experience and qualifications of key personnel who will be assigned to projects.
- 15 /20 points
- exp. stormwater focus. in areas Town needs*

c.) Offeror's approach to providing the services requested in this solicitation.

10 /10 points

liked note on bidding

- liked focus on value engineering

d.) Location of Offeror's office(s) in Palm Beach County

5 /5 points

e.) Inclusion of performance standard, and penalty for untimely submissions. The more aggressive the penalty, the more points will be awarded. See Section 6, Tab 5

10 /20 points

liked the thinking. wondering about admin.

TOTAL POINTS: 80 /100 points

RFQ 107-2018 (Professional Consultant Services)
Evaluation Committee Ranking Sheet

Evaluator Name: R. Scherle Date: 9/18/18
Name of Firm: Engenuity

**WORK CATEGORY 'B': Civil, Structural and Mechanical Systems Engineering;
Surveying and Mapping Services**

1.) Are the following forms included in the submittal as part of "Tab 6"?

- | | | |
|--|--------------|------------------|
| a.) Acknowledgement of Addenda | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| b.) Proposal Signature Page | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| c.) Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| d.) Notification of Public Entity Crimes Law | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| e.) Drug-free Workplace Form | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| f.) Non-Collusion Affidavit | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| g.) Truth-In-Negotiation Certificate | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |

2.) After reviewing the information contained in Tabs 1-5, please score the firm's submittal according to the evaluation criteria set forth in the RFQ:

a.) Relevant experience, resources, and past to be assigned under this solicitation. Must include historical percentage of "on-time" work as a ratio of all work completed.

32 /45 points

- long history
- analogous exp. @
Miami design
dist.

b.) Experience and qualifications of key personnel who will be assigned to projects.

12 /20 points

- survey crew
seems abit
new?

- Ms. Bamber
ext. exp
w/ local stormwater
master planning

c.) Offeror's approach to providing the services requested in this solicitation.

10 /10 points

liked note on bidding

d.) Location of Offeror's office(s) in Palm Beach County

5 /5 points

e.) Inclusion of performance standard, and penalty for untimely submissions. The more aggressive the penalty, the more points will be awarded. See Section 6, Tab 5

10 /20 points

*liked the thresholds
wondering about
align in*

TOTAL POINTS: 77 /100 points

*I liked P955
note on fairly
note for various
sized entities*

RFQ 107-2018 (Professional Consultant Services)
Evaluation Committee Ranking Sheet

Evaluator Name: Bonnie McAlister Lee Date: 9/18/2018
Name of Firm: Enguity Group, Inc.

WORK CATEGORY 'A': Storm Water Engineering

1.) Are the following forms included in the submittal as part of "Tab 6"?

- | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------|
| a.) Acknowledgement of Addenda | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b.) Proposal Signature Page | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c.) Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d.) Notification of Public Entity Crimes Law | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| e.) Drug-free Workplace Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| f.) Non-Collusion Affidavit | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| g.) Truth-In-Negotiation Certificate | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |

2.) After reviewing the information contained in Tabs 1-5, please score the firm's submittal according to the evaluation criteria set forth in the RFQ:

a.) Relevant experience, resources, and past to be assigned under this solicitation. Must include historical percentage of "on-time" work as a ratio of all work completed.

0 /45 points *data not provided*

b.) Experience and qualifications of key personnel who will be assigned to projects.

20 /20 points

c.) Offeror's approach to providing the services requested in this solicitation.

10 /10 points

d.) Location of Offeror's office(s) in Palm Beach County

5 /5 points

e.) Inclusion of performance standard, and penalty for untimely submissions. The more aggressive the penalty, the more points will be awarded. See Section 6, Tab 5

20 /20 points

TOTAL POINTS: 55 /100 points

RFQ 107-2018 (Professional Consultant Services)
Evaluation Committee Ranking Sheet

Evaluator Name: Daniel McMillan Swain Date: 9/18/2018
Name of Firm: Equity Group, Inc.

WORK CATEGORY 'B': Civil, Structural and Mechanical Systems Engineering;
Surveying and Mapping Services

1.) Are the following forms included in the submittal as part of "Tab 6"?

- | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------|
| a.) Acknowledgement of Addenda | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b.) Proposal Signature Page | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c.) Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d.) Notification of Public Entity Crimes Law | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| e.) Drug-free Workplace Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| f.) Non-Collusion Affidavit | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| g.) Truth-In-Negotiation Certificate | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |

2.) After reviewing the information contained in Tabs 1-5, please score the firm's submittal according to the evaluation criteria set forth in the RFQ:

- a.) Relevant experience, resources, and past to be assigned under this solicitation. Must include historical percentage of "on-time" work as a ratio of all work completed.

0 /45 points *data not provided*

- b.) Experience and qualifications of key personnel who will be assigned to projects.

20 /20 points

c.) Offeror's approach to providing the services requested in this solicitation.

18 /10 points

d.) Location of Offeror's office(s) in Palm Beach County

5 /5 points

e.) Inclusion of performance standard, and penalty for untimely submissions. The more aggressive the penalty, the more points will be awarded. See Section 6, Tab 5 *performance standard*

20 /20 points

TOTAL POINTS: 55 /100 points

Florida
Technical
Consultants
(FTC)

RFQ 107-2018 (Professional Consultant Services)
Evaluation Committee Ranking Sheet

Evaluator Name: Shud'Aziz Date: 9-18-18
Name of Firm: Florida Technical Consultants LLC

WORK CATEGORY 'A': Storm Water Engineering

1.) Are the following forms included in the submittal as part of "Tab 6"?

- | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------|
| a.) Acknowledgement of Addenda | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b.) Proposal Signature Page | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c.) Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d.) Notification of Public Entity Crimes Law | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| e.) Drug-free Workplace Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| f.) Non-Collusion Affidavit | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| g.) Truth-In-Negotiation Certificate | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |

*w/ Addenda
G+A*

2.) After reviewing the information contained in Tabs 1-5, please score the firm's submittal according to the evaluation criteria set forth in the RFQ:

a.) Relevant experience, resources, and past to be assigned under this solicitation. Must include historical percentage of "on-time" work as a ratio of all work completed.

40 /45 points

b.) Experience and qualifications of key personnel who will be assigned to projects.

20 /20 points

c.) Offeror's approach to providing the services requested in this solicitation.

10 /10 points

d.) Location of Offeror's office(s) in Palm Beach County

5 /5 points

e.) Inclusion of performance standard, and penalty for untimely submissions. The more aggressive the penalty, the more points will be awarded. See Section 6, Tab 5

0 /20 points

TOTAL POINTS: 75 /100 points

RFQ 107-2018 (Professional Consultant Services)
Evaluation Committee Ranking Sheet

Evaluator Name: John D. Ayoub Date: 9-18-18
Name of Firm: Florida Technical Consultants

**WORK CATEGORY 'B': Civil, Structural and Mechanical Systems Engineering;
Surveying and Mapping Services**

1.) Are the following forms included in the submittal as part of "Tab 6"?

- | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------|
| a.) Acknowledgement of Addenda | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b.) Proposal Signature Page | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c.) Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d.) Notification of Public Entity Crimes Law | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| e.) Drug-free Workplace Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| f.) Non-Collusion Affidavit | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| g.) Truth-In-Negotiation Certificate | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |

2.) After reviewing the information contained in Tabs 1-5, please score the firm's submittal according to the evaluation criteria set forth in the RFQ:

- a.) Relevant experience, resources, and past to be assigned under this solicitation. Must include historical percentage of "on-time" work as a ratio of all work completed.

40 /45 points

- b.) Experience and qualifications of key personnel who will be assigned to projects.

20 /20 points

c.) Offeror's approach to providing the services requested in this solicitation.

10 /10 points

d.) Location of Offeror's office(s) in Palm Beach County

5 /5 points *Bogota*

e.) Inclusion of performance standard, and penalty for untimely submissions. The more aggressive the penalty, the more points will be awarded. See Section 6, Tab 5

0 /20 points

TOTAL POINTS: 75 /100 points

RFQ 107-2018 (Professional Consultant Services)
Evaluation Committee Ranking Sheet

Evaluator Name: R. Scheuch Date: 9/18/18
Name of Firm: ETC

WORK CATEGORY 'A': Storm Water Engineering

1.) Are the following forms included in the submittal as part of "Tab 6"?

- | | | |
|--|-------------------|------------------|
| a.) Acknowledgement of Addenda | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| b.) Proposal Signature Page | Yes <u> </u> | No <u> </u> |
| c.) Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| d.) Notification of Public Entity Crimes Law | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| e.) Drug-free Workplace Form | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| f.) Non-Collusion Affidavit | Yes <u> </u> | No <u> </u> |
| g.) Truth-In-Negotiation Certificate | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |

2.) After reviewing the information contained in Tabs 1-5, please score the firm's submittal according to the evaluation criteria set forth in the RFQ:

a.) Relevant experience, resources, and past to be assigned under this solicitation. Must include historical percentage of "on-time" work as a ratio of all work completed.

10 /45 points

more GIS

b.) Experience and qualifications of key personnel who will be assigned to projects.

20 /20 points

c.) Offeror's approach to providing the services requested in this solicitation.

5/10 points

d.) Location of Offeror's office(s) in Palm Beach County

5/5 points

e.) Inclusion of performance standard, and penalty for untimely submissions. The more aggressive the penalty, the more points will be awarded. See Section 6, Tab 5

10/20 points

TOTAL POINTS: 50/100 points

RFQ 107-2018 (Professional Consultant Services)
Evaluation Committee Ranking Sheet

Evaluator Name: R. Schuler Date: 9/19/18
Name of Firm: FTC

**WORK CATEGORY 'B': Civil, Structural and Mechanical Systems Engineering;
Surveying and Mapping Services**

1.) Are the following forms included in the submittal as part of "Tab 6"?

- | | | |
|--|--------------|------------------|
| a.) Acknowledgement of Addenda | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| b.) Proposal Signature Page | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| c.) Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| d.) Notification of Public Entity Crimes Law | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| e.) Drug-free Workplace Form | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| f.) Non-Collusion Affidavit | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| g.) Truth-In-Negotiation Certificate | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |

2.) After reviewing the information contained in Tabs 1-5, please score the firm's submittal according to the evaluation criteria set forth in the RFQ:

- a.) Relevant experience, resources, and past to be assigned under this solicitation. Must include historical percentage of "on-time" work as a ratio of all work completed.

10 /45 points

Focus
13 GIS

- b.) Experience and qualifications of key personnel who will be assigned to projects.

20 /20 points

c.) Offeror's approach to providing the services requested in this solicitation.

5 /10 points

d.) Location of Offeror's office(s) in Palm Beach County

5 /5 points

e.) Inclusion of performance standard, and penalty for untimely submissions. The more aggressive the penalty, the more points will be awarded. See Section 6, Tab 5

10 /20 points

TOTAL POINTS: 50 /100 points

RFQ 107-2018 (Professional Consultant Services)
Evaluation Committee Ranking Sheet

Evaluator Name: Ronald McKelton Jr Date: 9/18/2018
Name of Firm: ATC (Florida Public Consultants)

WORK CATEGORY 'A': Storm Water Engineering

- 1.) Are the following forms included in the submittal as part of "Tab 6"? → (mail C)
- | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------|
| a.) Acknowledgement of Addenda | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b.) Proposal Signature Page | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c.) Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d.) Notification of Public Entity Crimes Law | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| e.) Drug-free Workplace Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| f.) Non-Collusion Affidavit | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| g.) Truth-In-Negotiation Certificate | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |

2.) After reviewing the information contained in Tabs 1-5, please score the firm's submittal according to the evaluation criteria set forth in the RFQ:

a.) Relevant experience, resources, and past to be assigned under this solicitation. Must include historical percentage of "on-time" work as a ratio of all work completed.

0 /45 points *ratio not provided*

b.) Experience and qualifications of key personnel who will be assigned to projects.

20 /20 points

c.) Offeror's approach to providing the services requested in this solicitation.

2 /10 points

d.) Location of Offeror's office(s) in Palm Beach County

5 /5 points

e.) Inclusion of performance standard, and penalty for untimely submissions. The more aggressive the ~~penalty~~, the more points will be awarded. See Section 6, Tab 5 *performance standard*

10 /20 points

TOTAL POINTS: 25 /100 points

RFQ 107-2018 (Professional Consultant Services)
Evaluation Committee Ranking Sheet

Evaluator Name: Bambi McPherson Turner Date: 9/18/2018
Name of Firm: ETC (Florida Industrial Consultants)

WORK CATEGORY 'B': Civil, Structural and Mechanical Systems Engineering;
Surveying and Mapping Services

1.) Are the following forms included in the submittal as part of "Tab 6"? (email)

- | | | |
|--|---|--|
| a.) Acknowledgement of Addenda | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| b.) Proposal Signature Page | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c.) Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d.) Notification of Public Entity Crimes Law | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| e.) Drug-free Workplace Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| f.) Non-Collusion Affidavit | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| g.) Truth-In-Negotiation Certificate | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |

2.) After reviewing the information contained in Tabs 1-5, please score the firm's submittal according to the evaluation criteria set forth in the RFQ:

- a.) Relevant experience, resources, and past to be assigned under this solicitation. Must include historical percentage of "on-time" work as a ratio of all work completed.

0 /45 points *Rating not provided*

- b.) Experience and qualifications of key personnel who will be assigned to projects.

20 /20 points

c.) Offeror's approach to providing the services requested in this solicitation.

0 /10 points

d.) Location of Offeror's office(s) in Palm Beach County

5 /5 points

e.) Inclusion of performance standard, and penalty for untimely submissions. The more aggressive the penalty, the more points will be awarded. See Section 6, Tab 5

10 /20 points

TOTAL POINTS: 35 /100 points

Michael B.
Schorah
& Associates

RFQ 107-2018 (Professional Consultant Services)
Evaluation Committee Ranking Sheet

Evaluator Name: Juan D. Azarino Date: 9-18-18
Name of Firm: MBSA + Sub CONSULTANTS

WORK CATEGORY 'A': Storm Water Engineering

1.) Are the following forms included in the submittal as part of "Tab 6"?

- | | | |
|--|--------------|----------------|
| a.) Acknowledgement of Addenda | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| b.) Proposal Signature Page | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| c.) Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| d.) Notification of Public Entity Crimes Law | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| e.) Drug-free Workplace Form | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| f.) Non-Collusion Affidavit | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| g.) Truth-In-Negotiation Certificate | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |

Sample Form for Bond, Letter of Credit, Agreement

2.) After reviewing the information contained in Tabs 1-5, please score the firm's submittal according to the evaluation criteria set forth in the RFQ:

a.) Relevant experience, resources, and past to be assigned under this solicitation. Must include historical percentage of "on-time" work as a ratio of all work completed. _____

40 /45 points

b.) Experience and qualifications of key personnel who will be assigned to projects.

20 /20 points

c.) Offeror's approach to providing the services requested in this solicitation.

10 /10 points

d.) Location of Offeror's office(s) in Palm Beach County

5 /5 points

e.) Inclusion of performance standard, and penalty for untimely submissions. The more aggressive the penalty, the more points will be awarded. See Section 6, Tab 5

0 /20 points *Very Disappointed,*

TOTAL POINTS: 75 /100 points

RFQ 107-2018 (Professional Consultant Services)
Evaluation Committee Ranking Sheet

Evaluator Name: John D. [Signature] Date: 9-18-18
Name of Firm: MASSA + Subs

**WORK CATEGORY 'B': Civil, Structural and Mechanical Systems Engineering;
Surveying and Mapping Services**

1.) Are the following forms included in the submittal as part of "Tab 6"?

- | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------|
| a.) Acknowledgement of Addenda | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b.) Proposal Signature Page | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c.) Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d.) Notification of Public Entity Crimes Law | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| e.) Drug-free Workplace Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| f.) Non-Collusion Affidavit | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| g.) Truth-In-Negotiation Certificate | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |

2.) After reviewing the information contained in Tabs 1-5, please score the firm's submittal according to the evaluation criteria set forth in the RFQ:

a.) Relevant experience, resources, and past to be assigned under this solicitation. Must include historical percentage of "on-time" work as a ratio of all work completed.

40 /45 points

b.) Experience and qualifications of key personnel who will be assigned to projects.

20 /20 points

c.) Offeror's approach to providing the services requested in this solicitation.

10 /10 points

d.) Location of Offeror's office(s) in Palm Beach County

5 /5 points

e.) Inclusion of performance standard, and penalty for untimely submissions. The more aggressive the penalty, the more points will be awarded. See Section 6, Tab 5

0 /20 points *Jerry Disappointed*

TOTAL POINTS: 75 /100 points

RFQ 107-2018 (Professional Consultant Services)
Evaluation Committee Ranking Sheet

Evaluator Name: John D. Agostino Date: 9-18-18
Name of Firm: MBSA + Sub CONSULTANTS

WORK CATEGORY 'C': Transportation Engineering

1.) Are the following forms included in the submittal as part of "Tab 6"?

- | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------|
| a.) Acknowledgement of Addenda | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b.) Proposal Signature Page | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c.) Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d.) Notification of Public Entity Crimes Law | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| e.) Drug-free Workplace Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| f.) Non-Collusion Affidavit | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| g.) Truth-In-Negotiation Certificate | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |

2.) After reviewing the information contained in Tabs 1-5, please score the firm's submittal according to the evaluation criteria set forth in the RFQ:

- a.) Relevant experience, resources, and past to be assigned under this solicitation. Must include historical percentage of "on-time" work as a ratio of all work completed.

40 /45 points

- b.) Experience and qualifications of key personnel who will be assigned to projects.

20 /20 points

c.) Offeror's approach to providing the services requested in this solicitation.

10 /10 points

d.) Location of Offeror's office(s) in Palm Beach County

5 /5 points

e.) Inclusion of performance standard, and penalty for untimely submissions. The more aggressive the penalty, the more points will be awarded. See Section 6, Tab 5

0 /20 points *Very Disappointed*

TOTAL POINTS: 75 /100 points

RFQ 107-2018 (Professional Consultant Services)
Evaluation Committee Ranking Sheet

Evaluator Name: John D. Apostol Date: 9-18-18
Name of Firm: MBSA + Sub-Consultants

WORK CATEGORY 'D': Architectural Design

1.) Are the following forms included in the submittal as part of "Tab 6"?

- | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------|
| a.) Acknowledgement of Addenda | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b.) Proposal Signature Page | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c.) Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d.) Notification of Public Entity Crimes Law | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| e.) Drug-free Workplace Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| f.) Non-Collusion Affidavit | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| g.) Truth-In-Negotiation Certificate | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |

2.) After reviewing the information contained in Tabs 1-5, please score the firm's submittal according to the evaluation criteria set forth in the RFQ:

- a.) Relevant experience, resources, and past to be assigned under this solicitation. Must include historical percentage of "on-time" work as a ratio of all work completed.

40 /45 points

- b.) Experience and qualifications of key personnel who will be assigned to projects.

20 /20 points

c.) Offeror's approach to providing the services requested in this solicitation.

10 /10 points

d.) Location of Offeror's office(s) in Palm Beach County

5 /5 points

e.) Inclusion of performance standard, and penalty for untimely submissions. The more aggressive the penalty, the more points will be awarded. See Section 6, Tab 5

0 /20 points *Very Disappointed*

TOTAL POINTS: 75 /100 points

RFQ 107-2018 (Professional Consultant Services)
Evaluation Committee Ranking Sheet

Evaluator Name: John D. Anastas Date: 9-18-18
Name of Firm: MBSA + Sub-Consultants

WORK CATEGORY 'E': Planning Services and Landscape Architect

1.) Are the following forms included in the submittal as part of "Tab 6"?

- | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------|
| a.) Acknowledgement of Addenda | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b.) Proposal Signature Page | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c.) Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d.) Notification of Public Entity Crimes Law | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| e.) Drug-free Workplace Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| f.) Non-Collusion Affidavit | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| g.) Truth-In-Negotiation Certificate | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |

2.) After reviewing the information contained in Tabs 1-5, please score the firm's submittal according to the evaluation criteria set forth in the RFQ:

a.) Relevant experience, resources, and past to be assigned under this solicitation. Must include historical percentage of "on-time" work as a ratio of all work completed.

40 /45 points

b.) Experience and qualifications of key personnel who will be assigned to projects.

20 /20 points

c.) Offeror's approach to providing the services requested in this solicitation.

10 /10 points

d.) Location of Offeror's office(s) in Palm Beach County

5 /5 points

e.) Inclusion of performance standard, and penalty for untimely submissions. The more aggressive the penalty, the more points will be awarded. See Section 6, Tab 5

0 /20 points

TOTAL POINTS: 75 /100 points

RFQ 107-2018 (Professional Consultant Services)
Evaluation Committee Ranking Sheet

Evaluator Name: R. Scheels Date: 9/19/18
Name of Firm: Michael Scharah

WORK CATEGORY 'A': Storm Water Engineering

1.) Are the following forms included in the submittal as part of "Tab 6"?

- | | | |
|--|--------------|----------|
| a.) Acknowledgement of Addenda | Yes <u>✓</u> | No _____ |
| b.) Proposal Signature Page | Yes <u>✓</u> | No _____ |
| c.) Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form | Yes <u>✓</u> | No _____ |
| d.) Notification of Public Entity Crimes Law | Yes <u>✓</u> | No _____ |
| e.) Drug-free Workplace Form | Yes <u>✓</u> | No _____ |
| f.) Non-Collusion Affidavit | Yes <u>✓</u> | No _____ |
| g.) Truth-In-Negotiation Certificate | Yes _____ | No _____ |

2.) After reviewing the information contained in Tabs 1-5, please score the firm's submittal according to the evaluation criteria set forth in the RFQ:

a.) Relevant experience, resources, and past to be assigned under this solicitation. Must include historical percentage of "on-time" work as a ratio of all work completed.

40 /45 points

b.) Experience and qualifications of key personnel who will be assigned to projects.

15 /20 points

c.) Offeror's approach to providing the services requested in this solicitation.

10/10 points

d.) Location of Offeror's office(s) in Palm Beach County

5/5 points

e.) Inclusion of performance standard, and penalty for untimely submissions. The more aggressive the penalty, the more points will be awarded. See Section 6, Tab 5

10/20 points

TOTAL POINTS: 80/100 points

RFQ 107-2018 (Professional Consultant Services)
Evaluation Committee Ranking Sheet

Evaluator Name: R. Scheel Date: 9/18/18
Name of Firm: Michael Schorch

**WORK CATEGORY 'B': Civil, Structural and Mechanical Systems Engineering;
Surveying and Mapping Services**

1.) Are the following forms included in the submittal as part of "Tab 6"?

- | | | |
|--|-------------------|------------------|
| a.) Acknowledgement of Addenda | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| b.) Proposal Signature Page | Yes <u> </u> | No <u> </u> |
| c.) Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| d.) Notification of Public Entity Crimes Law | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| e.) Drug-free Workplace Form | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| f.) Non-Collusion Affidavit | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| g.) Truth-In-Negotiation Certificate | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |

2.) After reviewing the information contained in Tabs 1-5, please score the firm's submittal according to the evaluation criteria set forth in the RFQ:

a.) Relevant experience, resources, and past to be assigned under this solicitation. Must include historical percentage of "on-time" work as a ratio of all work completed.

39 /45 points

b.) Experience and qualifications of key personnel who will be assigned to projects.

20 /20 points

c.) Offeror's approach to providing the services requested in this solicitation.

10 /10 points

d.) Location of Offeror's office(s) in Palm Beach County

5 /5 points

e.) Inclusion of performance standard, and penalty for untimely submissions. The more aggressive the penalty, the more points will be awarded. See Section 6, Tab 5

10 /20 points

TOTAL POINTS: 84 /100 points

RFQ 107-2018 (Professional Consultant Services)
Evaluation Committee Ranking Sheet

Evaluator Name: R. Scheel Date: 9/18/18
Name of Firm: Michael Schorah

WORK CATEGORY 'C': Transportation Engineering

1.) Are the following forms included in the submittal as part of "Tab 6"?

- | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------|
| a.) Acknowledgement of Addenda | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b.) Proposal Signature Page | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c.) Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d.) Notification of Public Entity Crimes Law | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| e.) Drug-free Workplace Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| f.) Non-Collusion Affidavit | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| g.) Truth-In-Negotiation Certificate | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |

2.) After reviewing the information contained in Tabs 1-5, please score the firm's submittal according to the evaluation criteria set forth in the RFQ:

- a.) Relevant experience, resources, and past to be assigned under this solicitation. Must include historical percentage of "on-time" work as a ratio of all work completed.

40 /45 points

- b.) Experience and qualifications of key personnel who will be assigned to projects.

20 /20 points

c.) Offeror's approach to providing the services requested in this solicitation.

10 /10 points

d.) Location of Offeror's office(s) in Palm Beach County

5 /5 points

e.) Inclusion of performance standard, and penalty for untimely submissions. The more aggressive the penalty, the more points will be awarded. See Section 6, Tab 5

10 /20 points

TOTAL POINTS: 85 /100 points

RFQ 107-2018 (Professional Consultant Services)
Evaluation Committee Ranking Sheet

Evaluator Name: R. Schule Date: 9/18/18
Name of Firm: Michael Schorah

WORK CATEGORY 'D': Architectural Design

1.) Are the following forms included in the submittal as part of "Tab 6"?

- | | | |
|--|--------------|------------------|
| a.) Acknowledgement of Addenda | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| b.) Proposal Signature Page | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| c.) Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| d.) Notification of Public Entity Crimes Law | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| e.) Drug-free Workplace Form | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| f.) Non-Collusion Affidavit | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| g.) Truth-In-Negotiation Certificate | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |

2.) After reviewing the information contained in Tabs 1-5, please score the firm's submittal according to the evaluation criteria set forth in the RFQ:

- a.) Relevant experience, resources, and past to be assigned under this solicitation. Must include historical percentage of "on-time" work as a ratio of all work completed.

30 /45 points

all sub-ed

- b.) Experience and qualifications of key personnel who will be assigned to projects.

18 /20 points

c.) Offeror's approach to providing the services requested in this solicitation.

10/10 points

d.) Location of Offeror's office(s) in Palm Beach County

5/5 points

e.) Inclusion of performance standard, and penalty for untimely submissions. The more aggressive the penalty, the more points will be awarded. See Section 6, Tab 5

10/20 points

TOTAL POINTS: 13/100 points

RFQ 107-2018 (Professional Consultant Services)
Evaluation Committee Ranking Sheet

Evaluator Name: R. Schuch Date: 9/18/18
Name of Firm: Michael Schorah

WORK CATEGORY 'E': Planning Services and Landscape Architect

1.) Are the following forms included in the submittal as part of "Tab 6"?

- | | | |
|--|--------------|------------------|
| a.) Acknowledgement of Addenda | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| b.) Proposal Signature Page | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| c.) Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| d.) Notification of Public Entity Crimes Law | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| e.) Drug-free Workplace Form | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| f.) Non-Collusion Affidavit | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| g.) Truth-In-Negotiation Certificate | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |

2.) After reviewing the information contained in Tabs 1-5, please score the firm's submittal according to the evaluation criteria set forth in the RFQ:

a.) Relevant experience, resources, and past to be assigned under this solicitation. Must include historical percentage of "on-time" work as a ratio of all work completed.

35 /45 points

pg 88 - conun

b.) Experience and qualifications of key personnel who will be assigned to projects.

20 /20 points

c.) Offeror's approach to providing the services requested in this solicitation.

 0 /10 points

d.) Location of Offeror's office(s) in Palm Beach County

 5 /5 points

e.) Inclusion of performance standard, and penalty for untimely submissions. The more aggressive the penalty, the more points will be awarded. See Section 6, Tab 5

 10 /20 points

TOTAL POINTS: 80 /100 points

RFQ 107-2018 (Professional Consultant Services)
Evaluation Committee Ranking Sheet

Evaluator Name: Paul M. K. Allen, Esq. Date: 9/18/2018
Name of Firm: Michael B. Schrock & Associates, Inc.

WORK CATEGORY 'A': Storm Water Engineering

- 1.) Are the following forms included in the submittal as part of "Tab 6"?
- a.) Acknowledgement of Addenda Yes No
 - b.) Proposal Signature Page Yes No
 - c.) Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form Yes No
 - d.) Notification of Public Entity Crimes Law Yes No
 - e.) Drug-free Workplace Form Yes No
 - f.) Non-Collusion Affidavit Yes No
 - g.) Truth-In-Negotiation Certificate Yes No

2.) After reviewing the information contained in Tabs 1-5, please score the firm's submittal according to the evaluation criteria set forth in the RFQ:

a.) Relevant experience, resources, and past to be assigned under this solicitation. Must include historical percentage of "on-time" work as a ratio of all work completed.
0 /45 points *ratio not provided*

b.) Experience and qualifications of key personnel who will be assigned to projects.
0 /20 points

c.) Offeror's approach to providing the services requested in this solicitation.

10 /10 points

d.) Location of Offeror's office(s) in Palm Beach County

5 /5 points

e.) Inclusion of performance standard, and penalty for untimely submissions. The more aggressive the penalty, the more points will be awarded. See Section 6, Tab 5 *performance standard*

5 /20 points

TOTAL POINTS: 40 /100 points

RFQ 107-2018 (Professional Consultant Services)
Evaluation Committee Ranking Sheet

Evaluator Name: Bonnie M. Liffon - See Date: 9/18/2018
Name of Firm: Michael S. Lippard & Associates, Inc.

**WORK CATEGORY 'B': Civil, Structural and Mechanical Systems Engineering;
Surveying and Mapping Services**

1.) Are the following forms included in the submittal as part of "Tab 6"?

- | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------|
| a.) Acknowledgement of Addenda | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b.) Proposal Signature Page | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c.) Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d.) Notification of Public Entity Crimes Law | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| e.) Drug-free Workplace Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| f.) Non-Collusion Affidavit | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| g.) Truth-In-Negotiation Certificate | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |

2.) After reviewing the information contained in Tabs 1-5, please score the firm's submittal according to the evaluation criteria set forth in the RFQ:

- a.) Relevant experience, resources, and past to be assigned under this solicitation. Must include historical percentage of "on-time" work as a ratio of all work completed.

0 /45 points *ratio not provided*

- b.) Experience and qualifications of key personnel who will be assigned to projects.

20 /20 points

c.) Offeror's approach to providing the services requested in this solicitation.

10 /10 points

d.) Location of Offeror's office(s) in Palm Beach County

5 /5 points

e.) Inclusion of performance standard, and penalty for untimely submissions. The more aggressive the penalty, the more points will be awarded. See Section 6, Tab 5 *perform Florida*

5 /20 points

TOTAL POINTS: 40 /100 points

RFQ 107-2018 (Professional Consultant Services)
Evaluation Committee Ranking Sheet

Evaluator Name: Bowli McElhannon Turner Date: 9/18/2018
Name of Firm: Michael B. Anderson & Associates, Inc.

WORK CATEGORY 'C': Transportation Engineering

- 1.) Are the following forms included in the submittal as part of "Tab 6"?
- a.) Acknowledgement of Addenda Yes No
 - b.) Proposal Signature Page Yes No
 - c.) Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form Yes No
 - d.) Notification of Public Entity Crimes Law Yes No
 - e.) Drug-free Workplace Form Yes No
 - f.) Non-Collusion Affidavit Yes No
 - g.) Truth-In-Negotiation Certificate Yes No

2.) After reviewing the information contained in Tabs 1-5, please score the firm's submittal according to the evaluation criteria set forth in the RFQ:

a.) Relevant experience, resources, and past to be assigned under this solicitation. Must include historical percentage of "on-time" work as a ratio of all work completed.

0 /45 points *ratio not provided*

b.) Experience and qualifications of key personnel who will be assigned to projects.

20 /20 points

c.) Offeror's approach to providing the services requested in this solicitation.

10 /10 points

d.) Location of Offeror's office(s) in Palm Beach County

5 /5 points

e.) Inclusion of performance standard, and penalty for untimely submissions. The more aggressive the ~~penalty~~, the more points will be awarded. See Section 6, Tab 5 *penalty standard*

5 /20 points

TOTAL POINTS: 40 /100 points

RFQ 107-2018 (Professional Consultant Services)
Evaluation Committee Ranking Sheet

Evaluator Name: Donna McElroy-Turner Date: 9/18/2018
Name of Firm: Michael B. Shanks & Associates, Inc.

WORK CATEGORY 'D': Architectural Design

1.) Are the following forms included in the submittal as part of "Tab 6"?

- | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------|
| a.) Acknowledgement of Addenda | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b.) Proposal Signature Page | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c.) Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d.) Notification of Public Entity Crimes Law | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| e.) Drug-free Workplace Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| f.) Non-Collusion Affidavit | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| g.) Truth-In-Negotiation Certificate | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |

2.) After reviewing the information contained in Tabs 1-5, please score the firm's submittal according to the evaluation criteria set forth in the RFQ:

a.) Relevant experience, resources, and past to be assigned under this solicitation. Must include historical percentage of "on-time" work as a ratio of all work completed.

0 /45 points *ratio not provided*

b.) Experience and qualifications of key personnel who will be assigned to projects.

20 /20 points

c.) Offeror's approach to providing the services requested in this solicitation.

10 /10 points

d.) Location of Offeror's office(s) in Palm Beach County

5 /5 points

e.) Inclusion of performance standard, and penalty for untimely submissions. The more aggressive the penalty, the more points will be awarded. See Section 6, Tab 5 *Higher standard*

5 /20 points

TOTAL POINTS: 40 /100 points

RFQ 107-2018 (Professional Consultant Services)
Evaluation Committee Ranking Sheet

Evaluator Name: Brenda McKelton Turner Date: 9/18/2018

Name of Firm: Michael B. Schaub & Associates, Inc.

WORK CATEGORY 'E': Planning Services and Landscape Architect

1.) Are the following forms included in the submittal as part of "Tab 6"?

- | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------|
| a.) Acknowledgement of Addenda | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b.) Proposal Signature Page | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c.) Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d.) Notification of Public Entity Crimes Law | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| e.) Drug-free Workplace Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| f.) Non-Collusion Affidavit | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| g.) Truth-In-Negotiation Certificate | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |

2.) After reviewing the information contained in Tabs 1-5, please score the firm's submittal according to the evaluation criteria set forth in the RFQ:

- a.) Relevant experience, resources, and past to be assigned under this solicitation. Must include historical percentage of "on-time" work as a ratio of all work completed.

0 /45 points *not provided*

- b.) Experience and qualifications of key personnel who will be assigned to projects.

2 /20 points

c.) Offeror's approach to providing the services requested in this solicitation.

8 /10 points

d.) Location of Offeror's office(s) in Palm Beach County

5 /5 points

e.) Inclusion of performance standard, and penalty for untimely submissions. The more aggressive the penalty, the more points will be awarded. See Section 6, Tab 5 *perfor stated*

5 /20 points

TOTAL POINTS: 40 /100 points

NZ

Consultants

(NZ)

RFQ 107-2018 (Professional Consultant Services)
Evaluation Committee Ranking Sheet

Evaluator Name: John D. Agostino Date: 9-18-18
Name of Firm: NZ CONSULTANTS

WORK CATEGORY 'E': Planning Services and Landscape Architect

1.) Are the following forms included in the submittal as part of "Tab 6"?

- | | | |
|--|--------------|----------------|
| a.) Acknowledgement of Addenda | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| b.) Proposal Signature Page | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| c.) Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| d.) Notification of Public Entity Crimes Law | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| e.) Drug-free Workplace Form | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| f.) Non-Collusion Affidavit | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| g.) Truth-In-Negotiation Certificate | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |

2.) Sample Performance Bond, Letter of Credit, Sample Agreement
After reviewing the information contained in Tabs 1-5, please score the firm's submittal according to the evaluation criteria set forth in the RFQ:

a.) Relevant experience, resources, and past to be assigned under this solicitation. Must include historical percentage of "on-time" work as a ratio of all work completed.

40 /45 points

b.) Experience and qualifications of key personnel who will be assigned to projects.

20 /20 points

*Except for: Lance Lilly
Gina Lawrence
Katarina Stepanovic
No stated yrs of Experience 1 yr*

c.) Offeror's approach to providing the services requested in this solicitation.

10 /10 points

d.) Location of Offeror's office(s) in Palm Beach County

5 /5 points

e.) Inclusion of performance standard, and penalty for untimely submissions. The more aggressive the penalty, the more points will be awarded. See Section 6, Tab 5

20 /20 points

TOTAL POINTS: ~~100~~ /100 points

95

RFQ 107-2018 (Professional Consultant Services)
Evaluation Committee Ranking Sheet

Evaluator Name: R Scheule Date: 9/18/19
Name of Firm: N2 consultants

WORK CATEGORY 'E': Planning Services and Landscape Architect

- I presumed this was the only category. Not clear.

1.) Are the following forms included in the submittal as part of "Tab 6"?

- | | | |
|--|---------------|--------------|
| a.) Acknowledgement of Addenda | Yes <u> </u> | No <u> </u> |
| b.) Proposal Signature Page | Yes <u> </u> | No <u> </u> |
| c.) Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form | Yes <u> </u> | No <u> </u> |
| d.) Notification of Public Entity Crimes Law | Yes <u> </u> | No <u> </u> |
| e.) Drug-free Workplace Form | Yes <u> </u> | No <u> </u> |
| f.) Non-Collusion Affidavit | Yes <u> </u> | No <u> </u> |
| g.) Truth-In-Negotiation Certificate | Yes <u> </u> | No <u> </u> |

good

2.) After reviewing the information contained in Tabs 1-5, please score the firm's submittal according to the evaluation criteria set forth in the RFQ:

a.) Relevant experience, resources, and past to be assigned under this solicitation. Must include historical percentage of "on-time" work as a ratio of all work completed.

45 /45 points

b.) Experience and qualifications of key personnel who will be assigned to projects.

20 /20 points

c.) Offeror's approach to providing the services requested in this solicitation.

10 /10 points

liked emphasis on public outreach and graphics presentations to clearly communicate

d.) Location of Offeror's office(s) in Palm Beach County

3 /5 points

e.) Inclusion of performance standard, and penalty for untimely submissions. The more aggressive the penalty, the more points will be awarded. See Section 6, Tab 5

20 /20 points

- liked this firm's approach to 3 month reviews

TOTAL POINTS: (100) /100 points

RFQ 107-2018 (Professional Consultant Services)
Evaluation Committee Ranking Sheet

Evaluator Name: Bonnie McElroy Date: 9/18/2018
Name of Firm: N2 Consultant

WORK CATEGORY 'E': Planning Services and Landscape Architect

1.) Are the following forms included in the submittal as part of "Tab 6"?

- | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------|
| a.) Acknowledgement of Addenda | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b.) Proposal Signature Page | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c.) Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d.) Notification of Public Entity Crimes Law | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| e.) Drug-free Workplace Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| f.) Non-Collusion Affidavit | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| g.) Truth-In-Negotiation Certificate | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |

2.) After reviewing the information contained in Tabs 1-5, please score the firm's submittal according to the evaluation criteria set forth in the RFQ:

a.) Relevant experience, resources, and past to be assigned under this solicitation. Must include historical percentage of "on-time" work as a ratio of all work completed.

0 /45 points *ratio not provided*

b.) Experience and qualifications of key personnel who will be assigned to projects.

20 /20 points

c.) Offeror's approach to providing the services requested in this solicitation.

10 /10 points

d.) Location of Offeror's office(s) in Palm Beach County

5 /5 points

e.) Inclusion of performance standard, and penalty for untimely submissions. The more aggressive the penalty, the more points will be awarded. See Section 6, Tab 5 *penalty standard*

20 /20 points

TOTAL POINTS: 55 /100 points

Simmons &
White

RFQ 107-2018 (Professional Consultant Services)
Evaluation Committee Ranking Sheet

Evaluator Name: ~~James + id~~ James + id Date: 9-18-18
Name of Firm: Simmons & White

WORK CATEGORY 'A': Storm Water Engineering

1.) Are the following forms included in the submittal as part of "Tab 6"?

- | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------|
| a.) Acknowledgement of Addenda | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b.) Proposal Signature Page | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c.) Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d.) Notification of Public Entity Crimes Law | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| e.) Drug-free Workplace Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| f.) Non-Collusion Affidavit | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| g.) Truth-In-Negotiation Certificate | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |

2.) After reviewing the information contained in Tabs 1-5, please score the firm's submittal according to the evaluation criteria set forth in the RFQ:

a.) Relevant experience, resources, and past to be assigned under this solicitation. Must include historical percentage of "on-time" work as a ratio of all work completed.

40 /45 points

NOG.

b.) Experience and qualifications of key personnel who will be assigned to projects.

20 /20 points

c.) Offeror's approach to providing the services requested in this solicitation.

10 /10 points

d.) Location of Offeror's office(s) in Palm Beach County

5 /5 points

e.) Inclusion of performance standard, and penalty for untimely submissions. The more aggressive the penalty, the more points will be awarded. See Section 6, Tab 5

0 /20 points

TOTAL POINTS: 75 /100 points

RFQ 107-2018 (Professional Consultant Services)
Evaluation Committee Ranking Sheet

Evaluator Name: John DiAgostino Date: 9-18-18
Name of Firm: Simon's + White

**WORK CATEGORY 'B': Civil, Structural and Mechanical Systems Engineering;
Surveying and Mapping Services**

1.) Are the following forms included in the submittal as part of "Tab 6"?

- | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------|
| a.) Acknowledgement of Addenda | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b.) Proposal Signature Page | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c.) Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d.) Notification of Public Entity Crimes Law | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| e.) Drug-free Workplace Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| f.) Non-Collusion Affidavit | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| g.) Truth-In-Negotiation Certificate | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |

2.) After reviewing the information contained in Tabs 1-5, please score the firm's submittal according to the evaluation criteria set forth in the RFQ:

- a.) Relevant experience, resources, and past to be assigned under this solicitation. Must include historical percentage of "on-time" work as a ratio of all work completed.

40 /45 points

- b.) Experience and qualifications of key personnel who will be assigned to projects.

20 /20 points

c.) Offeror's approach to providing the services requested in this solicitation.

10 /10 points

d.) Location of Offeror's office(s) in Palm Beach County

5 /5 points

e.) Inclusion of performance standard, and penalty for untimely submissions. The more aggressive the penalty, the more points will be awarded. See Section 6, Tab 5

0 /20 points

TOTAL POINTS: _____/100 points

RFQ 107-2018 (Professional Consultant Services)
Evaluation Committee Ranking Sheet

Evaluator Name: John D. Agostino Date: 9-18-18
Name of Firm: Simmons + White

WORK CATEGORY 'C': Transportation Engineering

1.) Are the following forms included in the submittal as part of "Tab 6"?

- | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------|
| a.) Acknowledgement of Addenda | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b.) Proposal Signature Page | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c.) Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d.) Notification of Public Entity Crimes Law | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| e.) Drug-free Workplace Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| f.) Non-Collusion Affidavit | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| g.) Truth-In-Negotiation Certificate | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |

2.) After reviewing the information contained in Tabs 1-5, please score the firm's submittal according to the evaluation criteria set forth in the RFQ:

- a.) Relevant experience, resources, and past to be assigned under this solicitation. Must include historical percentage of "on-time" work as a ratio of all work completed.

40 /45 points

- b.) Experience and qualifications of key personnel who will be assigned to projects.

20 /20 points

Rebecca Caramia
Emphasis

c.) Offeror's approach to providing the services requested in this solicitation.

10 /10 points

d.) Location of Offeror's office(s) in Palm Beach County

5 /5 points

e.) Inclusion of performance standard, and penalty for untimely submissions. The more aggressive the penalty, the more points will be awarded. See Section 6, Tab 5

0 /20 points

TOTAL POINTS: 75 /100 points

RFQ 107-2018 (Professional Consultant Services)
Evaluation Committee Ranking Sheet

Evaluator Name: R. Scheule Date: 2/19/18
Name of Firm: Simmons & White

WORK CATEGORY 'A': Storm Water Engineering

1.) Are the following forms included in the submittal as part of "Tab 6"?

- | | | | | |
|--|-----|-------------------------------------|----|--------------------------|
| a.) Acknowledgement of Addenda | Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b.) Proposal Signature Page | Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c.) Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form | Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d.) Notification of Public Entity Crimes Law | Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| e.) Drug-free Workplace Form | Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| f.) Non-Collusion Affidavit | Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| g.) Truth-In-Negotiation Certificate | Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> |

good

2.) After reviewing the information contained in Tabs 1-5, please score the firm's submittal according to the evaluation criteria set forth in the RFQ:

a.) Relevant experience, resources, and past to be assigned under this solicitation. Must include historical percentage of "on-time" work as a ratio of all work completed.

32 / 45 points

A

- NPDES
+ master planning

b.) Experience and qualifications of key personnel who will be assigned to projects.

12 / 20 points

A

c.) Offeror's approach to providing the services requested in this solicitation.

10 /10 points

like focus on comms

d.) Location of Offeror's office(s) in Palm Beach County

5 /5 points

e.) Inclusion of performance standard, and penalty for untimely submissions. The more aggressive the penalty, the more points will be awarded. See Section 6, Tab 5

~~10~~ 11 /20 points *pl*

liked workflow process even though no penalties included

TOTAL POINTS: ~~69~~ 70 /100 points *pl*

** liked utilization #s pg. 34*

RFQ 107-2018 (Professional Consultant Services)
Evaluation Committee Ranking Sheet

Evaluator Name: P. Scheele Date: 9/18/18
Name of Firm: Simmons & White

WORK CATEGORY 'B': Civil, ~~Structural~~ and ~~Mechanical~~ Systems Engineering;
Surveying and Mapping Services

- 1.) Are the following forms included in the submittal as part of "Tab 6"?
- | | | |
|--|-----------------|----------------|
| a.) Acknowledgement of Addenda | Yes <u> </u> | No <u> </u> |
| b.) Proposal Signature Page | Yes <u> </u> | No <u> </u> |
| c.) Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form | Yes <u> </u> | No <u> </u> |
| d.) Notification of Public Entity Crimes Law | Yes <u> </u> | No <u> </u> |
| e.) Drug-free Workplace Form | Yes <u> </u> | No <u> </u> |
| f.) Non-Collusion Affidavit | Yes <u> </u> | No <u> </u> |
| g.) Truth-In-Negotiation Certificate | Yes <u> </u> | No <u> </u> |
- good*

2.) After reviewing the information contained in Tabs 1-5, please score the firm's submittal according to the evaluation criteria set forth in the RFQ:

a.) Relevant experience, resources, and past to be assigned under this solicitation. Must include historical percentage of "on-time" work as a ratio of all work completed.
 30 /45 points

b.) Experience and qualifications of key personnel who will be assigned to projects.
 20 /20 points

c.) Offeror's approach to providing the services requested in this solicitation.

10 /10 points

d.) Location of Offeror's office(s) in Palm Beach County

5 /5 points

e.) Inclusion of performance standard, and penalty for untimely submissions. The more aggressive the penalty, the more points will be awarded. See Section 6, Tab 5

10 /20 points

TOTAL POINTS: ~~25~~ 35 /100 points *R*

*linked utilization
's 15 34*

RFQ 107-2018 (Professional Consultant Services)
Evaluation Committee Ranking Sheet

Evaluator Name: R. Scherle Date: 9/18/18
Name of Firm: Simmons & White

WORK CATEGORY 'C': Transportation Engineering

1.) Are the following forms included in the submittal as part of "Tab 6"?

- | | | |
|--|------------------|-----------------|
| a.) Acknowledgement of Addenda | Yes <u> / </u> | No <u> / </u> |
| b.) Proposal Signature Page | Yes <u> / </u> | No <u> / </u> |
| c.) Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form | Yes <u> / </u> | No <u> / </u> |
| d.) Notification of Public Entity Crimes Law | Yes <u> / </u> | No <u> / </u> |
| e.) Drug-free Workplace Form | Yes <u> / </u> | No <u> / </u> |
| f.) Non-Collusion Affidavit | Yes <u> / </u> | No <u> / </u> |
| g.) Truth-In-Negotiation Certificate | Yes <u> / </u> | No <u> / </u> |

good

2.) After reviewing the information contained in Tabs 1-5, please score the firm's submittal according to the evaluation criteria set forth in the RFQ:

a.) Relevant experience, resources, and past to be assigned under this solicitation. Must include historical percentage of "on-time" work as a ratio of all work completed. ?

~~45~~ 30 / 45 points *M*

in house provided - relevant on subs

b.) Experience and qualifications of key personnel who will be assigned to projects.

20 / 20 points

ps. 22 "calving"

c.) Offeror's approach to providing the services requested in this solicitation.

10 /10 points

extension of town staff pg 33

u. mobility w/ aesthetics 13.9

d.) Location of Offeror's office(s) in Palm Beach County

5 /5 points

e.) Inclusion of performance standard, and penalty for untimely submissions. The more aggressive the penalty, the more points will be awarded. See Section 6, Tab 5

10 /20 points

TOTAL POINTS: ~~90~~ /100 points

82 *pl*

RFQ 107-2018 (Professional Consultant Services)
Evaluation Committee Ranking Sheet

Evaluator Name: Paul M. Kibben - Sr. Date: 9/18/2018
Name of Firm: Simon & White

WORK CATEGORY 'A': Storm Water Engineering

1.) Are the following forms included in the submittal as part of "Tab 6"?

- | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------|
| a.) Acknowledgement of Addenda | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b.) Proposal Signature Page | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c.) Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d.) Notification of Public Entity Crimes Law | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| e.) Drug-free Workplace Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| f.) Non-Collusion Affidavit | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| g.) Truth-In-Negotiation Certificate | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |

2.) After reviewing the information contained in Tabs 1-5, please score the firm's submittal according to the evaluation criteria set forth in the RFQ:

a.) Relevant experience, resources, and past to be assigned under this solicitation. Must include historical percentage of "on-time" work as a ratio of all work completed.

0 /45 points *not provided*

b.) Experience and qualifications of key personnel who will be assigned to projects.

28 /20 points

c.) Offeror's approach to providing the services requested in this solicitation.

14 /10 points

d.) Location of Offeror's office(s) in Palm Beach County

5 /5 points

e.) Inclusion of performance standard, and penalty for untimely submissions. The more aggressive the penalty, the more points will be awarded. See Section 6, Tab 5

20 /20 points

TOTAL POINTS: 55 /100 points

Penalty Standard

RFQ 107-2018 (Professional Consultant Services)
Evaluation Committee Ranking Sheet

Evaluator Name: Bonnie McKeever Lee Date: 9/18/2018
Name of Firm: Jennings & White

WORK CATEGORY 'B': Civil, Structural and Mechanical Systems Engineering;
Surveying and Mapping Services

1.) Are the following forms included in the submittal as part of "Tab 6"?

- | | | | | |
|--|-----|-------------------------------------|----|--------------------------|
| a.) Acknowledgement of Addenda | Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b.) Proposal Signature Page | Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c.) Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form | Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d.) Notification of Public Entity Crimes Law | Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| e.) Drug-free Workplace Form | Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| f.) Non-Collusion Affidavit | Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| g.) Truth-In-Negotiation Certificate | Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> |

2.) After reviewing the information contained in Tabs 1-5, please score the firm's submittal according to the evaluation criteria set forth in the RFQ:

- a.) Relevant experience, resources, and past to be assigned under this solicitation. Must include historical percentage of "on-time" work as a ratio of all work completed.

0 /45 points *data not provided*

- b.) Experience and qualifications of key personnel who will be assigned to projects.

20 /20 points

c.) Offeror's approach to providing the services requested in this solicitation.

10 /10 points

d.) Location of Offeror's office(s) in Palm Beach County

5 /5 points

e.) Inclusion of performance standard, and penalty for untimely submissions. The more aggressive the penalty, the more points will be awarded. See Section 6, Tab 5 *performed*

20 /20 points

TOTAL POINTS: 55 /100 points

RFQ 107-2018 (Professional Consultant Services)
Evaluation Committee Ranking Sheet

Evaluator Name: Bambi McKeon Lee Date: 9/18/2018
Name of Firm: Simon & W. Dite

WORK CATEGORY 'C': Transportation Engineering

1.) Are the following forms included in the submittal as part of "Tab 6"?

- | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------|
| a.) Acknowledgement of Addenda | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b.) Proposal Signature Page | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c.) Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d.) Notification of Public Entity Crimes Law | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| e.) Drug-free Workplace Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| f.) Non-Collusion Affidavit | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| g.) Truth-In-Negotiation Certificate | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |

2.) After reviewing the information contained in Tabs 1-5, please score the firm's submittal according to the evaluation criteria set forth in the RFQ:

a.) Relevant experience, resources, and past to be assigned under this solicitation. Must include historical percentage of "on-time" work as a ratio of all work completed.

0 /45 points *ratio not provided*

b.) Experience and qualifications of key personnel who will be assigned to projects.

2.0 /20 points

c.) Offeror's approach to providing the services requested in this solicitation.

10 /10 points

d.) Location of Offeror's office(s) in Palm Beach County

5 /5 points

e.) Inclusion of performance standard, and penalty for untimely submissions. The more aggressive the penalty, the more points will be awarded. See Section 6, Tab 5 *performance standard*

20 /20 points

TOTAL POINTS: 55 /100 points

Water
Resources
Management
Associates, Inc.
(WRMA)

RFQ 107-2018 (Professional Consultant Services)
Evaluation Committee Ranking Sheet

Evaluator Name: John DiAntonio Date: 9-16-18
Name of Firm: WRMA

WORK CATEGORY 'A': Storm Water Engineering

1.) Are the following forms included in the submittal as part of "Tab 6"?

- | | | |
|--|--------------|----------------|
| a.) Acknowledgement of Addenda | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| b.) Proposal Signature Page | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| c.) Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| d.) Notification of Public Entity Crimes Law | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| e.) Drug-free Workplace Form | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| f.) Non-Collusion Affidavit | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| g.) Truth-In-Negotiation Certificate | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |

2.) After reviewing the information contained in Tabs 1-5, please score the firm's submittal according to the evaluation criteria set forth in the RFQ:

a.) Relevant experience, resources, and past to be assigned under this solicitation. Must include historical percentage of "on-time" work as a ratio of all work completed.

40 /45 points

b.) Experience and qualifications of key personnel who will be assigned to projects.

20 /20 points

c.) Offeror's approach to providing the services requested in this solicitation.

10 /10 points

d.) Location of Offeror's office(s) in Palm Beach County

5 /5 points

e.) Inclusion of performance standard, and penalty for untimely submissions. The more aggressive the penalty, the more points will be awarded. See Section 6, Tab 5

0 /20 points

TOTAL POINTS: 25 /100 points

RFQ 107-2018 (Professional Consultant Services)
Evaluation Committee Ranking Sheet

Evaluator Name: R. Scheck Date: 9/18/18
Name of Firm: WRMA

WORK CATEGORY 'A': Storm Water Engineering

- 1.) Are the following forms included in the submittal as part of "Tab 6"?
- | | | |
|--|--------------|----------------|
| a.) Acknowledgement of Addenda | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| b.) Proposal Signature Page | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| c.) Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| d.) Notification of Public Entity Crimes Law | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| e.) Drug-free Workplace Form | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| f.) Non-Collusion Affidavit | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |
| g.) Truth-In-Negotiation Certificate | Yes <u>✓</u> | No <u> </u> |

2.) After reviewing the information contained in Tabs 1-5, please score the firm's submittal according to the evaluation criteria set forth in the RFQ:

- a.) Relevant experience, resources, and past to be assigned under this solicitation. Must include historical percentage of "on-time" work as a ratio of all work completed.
40 /45 points
- b.) Experience and qualifications of key personnel who will be assigned to projects.
20 /20 points
- very experienced personnel w/ phd*
- like the specialization*
- LIDAR component to master plan
- master planning*

c.) Offeror's approach to providing the services requested in this solicitation.

10 /10 points

excellent approach

d.) Location of Offeror's office(s) in Palm Beach County

05 /5 points

request

e.) Inclusion of performance standard, and penalty for untimely submissions. The more aggressive the penalty, the more points will be awarded. See Section 6, Tab 5

10 /20 points

TOTAL POINTS: 85 /100 points

RFQ 107-2018 (Professional Consultant Services)
Evaluation Committee Ranking Sheet

Evaluator Name: Brenda McHadden Lee Date: 9/18/2018

Name of Firm: WRMA (Water Resources Management Associates, Inc.)

WORK CATEGORY 'A': Storm Water Engineering

1.) Are the following forms included in the submittal as part of "Tab 6"?

- | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------|
| a.) Acknowledgement of Addenda | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b.) Proposal Signature Page | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c.) Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d.) Notification of Public Entity Crimes Law | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| e.) Drug-free Workplace Form | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| f.) Non-Collusion Affidavit | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| g.) Truth-In-Negotiation Certificate | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |

2.) After reviewing the information contained in Tabs 1-5, please score the firm's submittal according to the evaluation criteria set forth in the RFQ:

- a.) Relevant experience, resources, and past to be assigned under this solicitation. Must include historical percentage of "on-time" work as a ratio of all work completed.

0 /45 points *ratio not provided*

- b.) Experience and qualifications of key personnel who will be assigned to projects.

20 /20 points

c.) Offeror's approach to providing the services requested in this solicitation.

10 /10 points

d.) Location of Offeror's office(s) in Palm Beach County

5 /5 points

e.) Inclusion of performance standard, and penalty for untimely submissions. The more aggressive the penalty, the more points will be awarded. See Section 6, Tab 5 *pay for standard*

20 /20 points

TOTAL POINTS: 55 /100 points

EXHIBIT "B"

**Town of Lake Park
Ranking Schedule for Professional Consulting Services RFQ
September 18, 2018**

Category A	Ranking	Ranking	Ranking	Ranking	Ranking
Engenuity Group	230				
WRMA	215				
Calvin Giordano	210				
Simmons & White	200				
Michael Schorah	195				
FTC	160				
Category B					
Engenuity Group		227			
Calvin Giordano		214			
Simmons & White		205			
Michael Schorah		199			
FTC		160			
DTM		137			
Category C					
Calvin Giordano			219		
Simmons & White			212		
Michael Schorah			200		
Category D					
CPZ Architects				195	
Michael Schorah				188	
Category E					
NZ Consultants					250
Calvin Giordano					235
Michael Schorah					195